# OPERATIONS (BLOOMFIELD COLLIERY)

### REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

	Ver	Date	Description	By	Chk	App
Ī	1	04/04/08	Original Draft	LC	JH	
	2	17/06/08	Second Draft	LC	JH	
	3	28/02/10	Final Draft	JH	KH	SD
	4	15/06/11	Revised Final Draft - incorporating Project Area as	KH	JH	SG
			approved by Section 75W Modification			
	5	18/08/17	Revised Final – Revised and Updated	GL		BC
	6	20/11/17	Revised Final – incorporating DPE consultation	GL		BC
	7	28/09/18	Revised Final – incorporating Modification 4	GL		CK
	8	18/03/20	Final – Reviewed	GL		CK
	9	26/06/20	Revised Final – incorporating DPI&E comments	GL		CK
	10	07/05/21	Revised Final – incorporating 'Bloomfield Site' of	GL		CK
			MP 05_0136 (Abel Mine)			
	11	05/05/22	Final – Reviewed post IEA	GL		CK
L				1		

BLOOMFIELD GROUP PTY LTD - INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

CONTENTS	CONTENTS INTRODUCTION PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES SCOPE RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER PLANS STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT COMMITMENTS CONSULTATION WITH REGULATORY AUTHORITIES ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES REHABILITATION AIM	2 33 33 33 33 44 77 88 88 88 99
	REHABILITATION INDICATORS AND COMPLETION CRITERIA	<u>11</u> 44
	MANAGEMENT MEASURES	<u>12<del>12</del></u>
	MONITORING PROTOCOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM	<u>17</u> 17 1818
	FINAL ASSESSMENT	1919
	RISK TO REHABILITATION	<u>19</u> 19
	AUDIT AND REVIEW	22 <del>22</del>
	DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	<u>22<del>22</del></u>
APPENDIX A	COMPLETION CRITERIA	
APPENDIX B	INTRODUCTION	A.2
REHABILITATION	REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN	A.2
METHODOLOGY	REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES & CRITERIA	A.3
	REHABILITATION AIM	A.4
	REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES COMPLETION CRITERIA AND INDICATORS	A.4 A.4
	REHABILITATION WORKS	A.4 A.4
	RESOURCE PLANNING	A.5
	SCHEDULING	A.6
	LANDFORM RESHAPING	A.7
	REVEGETATION	A.8
	ASSESSMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENTING REHABILITATION PRACTICES	A.9 A.9
	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	A.11
	STANDARD MONITORING PROTOCOL	A.12
	MONITORING REVIEW	A.13
	FINAL ASSESSMENT	A.14
	FINAL REHABILITATION SIGN-OFF REFERENCE MATERIAL	A.14 A.15

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### INTRODUCTION

This Rehabilitation Management Plan (RMP) has been prepared in response to Project Approval, 07\_0087, (Approval) granted under section 75J of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (EP&A) and modifications to the Approval granted in accordance with section 75W of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

The RMP takes into consideration the commitments stated in the Part 3A Environmental Assessment, conditions outlined in schedules 2 to 5 of the Approval granted under Section 75 J of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and Modifications issued under section 75w of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

The RMP includes rehabilitation areas that lie within MP 05\_0136 (Abel Mine) as part of the 'Bloomfield Site' referred to in the Abel Mine approval.

In addition, commitments outlined in Bloomfield Group Environment Management Policy are also taken into account.

#### PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

The purpose of the RMP is to:

- □ address the relevant conditions of the development consent;
- address commitments made within the Environmental Assessment;
- address legislative requirements and guidelines relevant to the RMP and related management plans; and
- provide a clear and concise description of responsibilities in relation to Landscape Management (including Rehabilitation, Final Void Management & Mine Closure) during the operation and subsequent closure of the Bloomfield group mining operations.

#### SCOPE

This RMP addresses rehabilitation management of the area covered by the project area as shown in Figure 1. It should be noted that although the scope of this plan specifically addresses the area covered by the approved Project Area, the general approach and methodologies are also applied across the Bloomfield Group operations.

#### RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER PLANS

The EMS establishes the overall environmental management strategy for mining and related activities on the site. The Landscape Management Plan provides the framework for rehabilitation and mine closure related issues. This document, the RMP addresses specific rehabilitation objectives and measures that are used to monitor the success of rehabilitation initiatives on site. Refer to Figure 2.

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan




Figure 2 RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER MANAGEMENT PLANS

#### STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS

Approval was granted by the Minister for Planning on 3 September 2009 under Section 75J of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Condition 27 of Schedule 3 of the Approval states:

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan

Requirement	RMP Reference
The Rehabilitation Management Plan must include:	
(a) the rehabilitation objectives for the site;	Rehabilitation Aims
<ul> <li>(b) a description of the short, medium, and long term measures that would be implemented to:</li> <li>rehabilitate the site; and</li> <li>manage the remnant vegetation and habitat on the site;</li> </ul>	Rehabilitation Aims
(c) performance and completion criteria for the rehabilitation of the site;	Rehabilitation Indicators and Completion Criteria
<ul> <li>(d) a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3 years, including the procedures to be implemented for: <ul> <li>minimising and rehabilitating disturbed areas;</li> <li>protecting vegetation and soil outside the disturbance areas;</li> <li>undertaking pre-clearance surveys;</li> <li>managing impacts on fauna;</li> <li>landscaping the site to minimise visual impacts;</li> <li>conserving and reusing topsoil;</li> <li>collecting and propagating seed for rehabilitation works;</li> <li>salvaging and reusing material from the site for habitat enhancement;</li> <li>controlling weeds and feral pests;</li> <li>controlling access; and</li> <li>bushfire management;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Management Measures
(e) a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the performance and completion criteria;  (f) a description of the potential risks to successful rehabilitation and/or revegetation, and a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate these risks; and	Monitoring Protocol Risks to Rehabilitation
(g) details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan.	Roles and Responsibilities

Condition 25 of Schedule 3 requires Bloomfield to comply with the objectives in Table 5 of that condition. The objectives of the condition states:

Feature	Objective	RMP Reference
All areas of the site affected by the project	<ul><li>Safe, stable and non-polluting</li><li>Fit for the intended post-mining land use/s</li></ul>	Appendix A
Areas proposed for native ecosystem reestablishment	<ul> <li>Restore self-sustaining native woodland ecosystems characteristic of vegetation communities found in the local area.</li> <li>Establish areas of self-sustaining:         <ul> <li>riparian habitat, within any diverted and/or reestablished creek lines and retained water features;</li> <li>potential habitat for threatened flora and fauna species; and</li> <li>wildlife corridors, as far as is reasonable and feasible.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Appendix A Mining Operations Plan 2018-2020
Areas proposed for agricultural land	<ul> <li>Establish/restore grassland areas to support sustainable agricultural activities</li> <li>Achieve the nominated land capability classification</li> </ul>	Appendix A
Other land affected by the development	• Restore ecosystem function, including maintaining or establishing self-sustaining ecosystems comprised of local native plant species (unless DRG agrees otherwise)	Management Measures Appendix A
Final Landform	<ul> <li>Stable and sustainable for the intended post-mining land use/s</li> <li>Integrated with surrounding natural landforms</li> <li>Incorporate micro-relief and drainage lines that are consistent with surrounding topography, to the greatest extent practicable</li> <li>Maximise surface water drainage to the natural environment (excluding final void catchment)</li> </ul>	Final Void Management Plan Mining Operations Plan 2018-2020
Final voids	<ul> <li>Designed as long term groundwater sinks to maximise ground water flows across back filled pits to the final void</li> <li>Minimise to the greatest extent practicable:         <ul> <li>the size and depth of final voids;</li> <li>any high wall instability risk; and</li> <li>the risk of flood interaction</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Final Void Management Plan Mining Operations Plan 2018-2020
Creek restoration works  Surface infrastructure	<ul> <li>Engineered to be hydraulically and geomorphologically stable</li> <li>Incorporate erosion control measures based on vegetation and engineering revetments</li> <li>Incorporate structures for aquatic habitat</li> <li>Revegetate with suitable native species</li> <li>To be decommissioned and removed, unless DRG</li> </ul>	Management Measures  Appendix A
of the development	agrees otherwise	Mining Operations Plan 2018-2020
Rehabilitation materials	• Materials from areas disturbed under this consent (including topsoils, substrates and seeds) are to be	Management Measures

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Water quality	<ul> <li>Water retained on the site is fit for the intended postmining land use/s</li> <li>Water discharged from the site is suitable for receiving waters and fit for aquatic ecology and riparian vegetation</li> </ul>	Appendix A
Community	Ensure public safety	Management Measures
	Minimise adverse socio-economic effects associated with mine closure	Mine Closure Plan

A modification (MOD 1) to the Approval was granted in accordance with section 75W of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 which allows for improvements to be made to some historically rehabilitated areas within the site to ensure contemporary standards are met.

In addition to the Approval and Modifications granted under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 there is a range of other relevant legislation that has been taken into consideration in developing the RMP. These include various Mining Leases and requirements of the Environment Protection Licence (EPL) that must be satisfied.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT COMMITMENTS

Section 4 of the Statement of Commitments presented in the Environmental Assessment relates to rehabilitation. The relevant commitments are reproduced as follows:

All site rehabilitation, including monitoring and maintenance will be undertaken in accordance with procedures documented in the EA and the existing Bloomfield Rehabilitation Management System.

- 4.1 Any additional rehabilitation requirements and plans for this Project will be included in the existing Bloomfield Rehabilitation Management System.
- 4.2 Land that has been mined will be rehabilitated to a safe and stable form with a land capability similar to that existing prior to mining, and with a landform compatible with the surrounding landscape.
- 4.3 Post mining landform and land use plans will be developed in 3.6.1 consultation with the landowner and with reference to the objectives of the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (DoP, 2006).

As stated in the commitments, it is the intent of the company to rehabilitate the project area so that a safe and stable landform is provided that is compatible with post mining land use.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

# CONSULTATION WITH REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

The closure, decommissioning and rehabilitation process will be regulated by the Department of Planning and Environment - Division of Resources and Geosciences (DRG). Relevant agencies will be consulted throughout the process and include the following:

- environmental inspections following the submission of the Annual Review;
- □ submission of Mining Operations Plan for Closure, Decommissioning and Rehabilitation;
- ☐ periodic inspections with Departmental officials throughout closure process;
- □ the preparation and submission to DRG of "as constructed" drawings of final landforms on completion of decommissioning.

Throughout the mining phase, copies of the Annual Review will continue to be distributed to the relevant authorities to enable feedback on the strategy and overall progress of rehabilitation.

#### ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The company directors are responsible for the overall rehabilitation and environmental performance of Bloomfield Colliery. Senior Operational managers have direct responsibility for the rehabilitation process. The Environmental Advisor provides direction and advice to ensure site environmental compliance is maintained and is the role which responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan. The key management positions are shown are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 MANAGEMENT TEAM

Position	Name
CEO	Brett Lewis
Manager of Mining Development	Geoff Moore
Mine Manager	Brad Donoghoe
General Manager Technical Services	Simon Grassby
Environmental Advisor	Greg Lamb

#### REHABILITATION APPROACH

As stated in the commitments, it is the intent of the company to rehabilitate the project area so that a safe and stable landform is provided that is compatible with post mining land use.

The methodology and approach taken in developing the rehabilitation management plan for the site is described in detail in Appendix A. In summary, there are a number of key steps which include:

- ☐ identification and agreement on the objectives for rehabilitation;
- ☐ establishment of short, medium & long-term rehabilitation measures;
- ☐ identify performance and completion criteria in which to assess the success of rehabilitation;
- ☐ monitor rehabilitation performance;

	develop contingencies to identify and address risks that might reduce the success of rehabilitation; and
	• outline responsibilities with regard to monitoring, reviewing and implementation of the RMP.
	The final land use (post mining) has yet to be agreed upon with the landowners and relevant government departments. To address the likely requirements the site will be designed to be stable and safe that allows for a range of likely possible uses.
	The final land use will be influenced by land use planning initiatives and decisions of the NSW Government such as the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS). In order to meet regulatory requirements and land owner expectations, an agreement has been established that sets out general obligations for post-mining rehabilitation, such as the requirement to provide a safe and stable landform. It also sets criteria for the removal of infrastructure and the rehabilitation of overburden dumps, roads, final voids, dams and tailings emplacements.
REHABILITATION AIM	The aim of the rehabilitation plan is to provide a safe and stable landform that is compatible with the surrounding landscape and can allow for a range of potential future land uses.
	In general overburden dumps will be reshaped with a maximum slope of 18 degrees. Where steep slopes are constructed, suitable erosion and sediment control banks will be incorporated to provide for stability.
Objectives	The rehabilitation program has a number of objectives. Those objectives directly related to the land owner "O" and those that reflect leading industry practice, or regulatory compliance, are noted with an "L".
	General
	☐ Land will be rehabilitated in accordance with relevant DRG standards applicable at the time of rehabilitation (L, O).
	Rehabilitated land will represent a minimal source of offsite environmental impacts, such as dust, water pollution, visual amenity and weeds (L, O).
	☐ All infrastructure not agreed (with the land-owner) to remain post-mining will be rehabilitated (O)
	☐ Rehabilitated land will require ongoing management inputs no greater than similar adjacent land (L)
	Rehabilitation will be compatible with the proposed post-mining land-use (mixed used development) (L).
	Landform
	☐ Rehabilitated land will be safe and stable (A).
	☐ Land capability will be returned to a class similar to that existing prior to the commencement of mining (A).
	☐ Mined land will be recontoured to a landform compatible with the surrounding natural landscape (L).

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### Vegetation

- Rehabilitated land will be top dressed, fertilised and sown with grass and/or native vegetation species (A).
- A sustainable vegetation cover will be established on rehabilitated land (L).

Condition 25 of Schedule 3 requires Bloomfield to comply with the objectives in Table 5 of that condition. The objectives of the condition are provided in the 'Statutory Obligations' section above.

Short, Medium and Long Term Measures Rehabilitation will be undertaken in accordance with the procedures outlined in Appendix A - Rehabilitation Methodology, which includes short, medium and long term measures to achieve these objectives.

#### Short Term Rehabilitation Measures

- Scheduling rehabilitation to minimise the disturbance footprint of the mining operation;
- Clear delineation of the areas of vegetation to be cleared;
- Pre-clearing surveys, topsoil stripping and stockpiling;
- Final landform shaping involving the reconstruction of a drainage pattern, construction of erosion and sediment control works:
- Topdressing using topsoil and application of soil ameliorants as required, such as biosolids and mulch;
- Sowing/fertilizing with selected species mix.

#### Medium Term Rehabilitation Measures

- Maintenance programs including: weed control, bushfire management through managing fuel loads by slashing or grazing and re-sowing where vegetation establishment has been poor;
- Control access to rehabilitated and remnant vegetation areas;
- Assessment program, annual maintenance inspections, scheduled rehabilitation monitoring and review of inspection/measurement data over time to assess rehabilitation performance.

#### Long term Rehabilitation Measures

- Final maintenance inspection and rehabilitation monitoring;
- Review monitoring results to determine progress is on a trajectory to achieving the rehabilitation objectives;
- Submission for rehabilitation signoff from Regulators and stakeholders.

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan

REHABILITATION INDICATORS AND COMPLETION CRITERIA

As outlined in the approved MOP 2021 - 2023 the performance criteria, measure and indicators have been defined for each domain in context of the phase of the rehabilitation program. This includes the following:

- Nomination and justification of performance measures. Performance measures
  are used to quantify the rehabilitation and land management programme in terms
  of efficiency or effectiveness and establish the indicative timeframes for
  completion, and the standards of completion;
- Identification of *performance indicators* of the biophysical environment or where applicable; the built environment that can be measured reliably over time using accepted scientific techniques and standards i.e. Australian Standards; and
- Establishment of the *performance/completion criteria* for each indicator which quantitatively demonstrates rehabilitation.

The completion criteria for all defined rehabilitation domains as outlined in the approved MOP 2021 - 2023 is provided in Appendix A.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### MANAGEMENT MEASURES

A series of management measures have been implemented to address the management of rehabilitation, fauna, and remnant vegetation areas during all phases of mining. The following measures have been implemented in a continuous manner during the period since development approval granted and will continue to be implemented over the next three years and beyond.

## Minimising and rehabilitating disturbed areas

For the remainder of the life of mine plan only 6 Ha of vegetation is scheduled to be cleared for mining operations.

Prior to the disturbance of any areas a Clearance Permit form must be completed and approved by the Environmental Officer. The form identifies any potential environmental issues associated with the disturbance, control measures to be undertaken and conformity with regulatory approvals. The boundaries of the disturbance areas will be clearly identified to minimise the extent of clearing. No clearing will occur outside these boundaries without approval.

Rehabilitation works will be carried on a progressive basis generally in accordance with the Mining Operations Plan (MOP) and be designed to meet the objectives outlined in this Plan. Scheduling of rehabilitation will be implemented to minimise the disturbance footprint of the mining operations.

#### Protecting vegetation and soil outside disturbance area

Impacts to vegetation and soil outside the disturbance area will be avoided through the use of existing access tracks and the restriction of all mining and ancillary activities to the area within the active mining areas.

The following management controls and activities are permitted in areas of remnant native vegetation, ie the area outside disturbance areas:

- Use and maintenance of existing access tracks;
- Exclusion of stock;
- Fencing repair/installation (where required);
- Erosion and sediment control (where required);
- Management of vegetation for bushfire, in consultation with the RFS;
- Routine weed control; and
- Feral animal control (if required).

#### Undertaking preclearance surveys

Pre-clearance surveys will be conducted prior to any vegetation clearing works in advance of mining. The following procedure is being implemented by Bloomfield:

- The area to be cleared clearly delineated;
- A qualified ecologist conducts a habitat tree assessment on the area and potential habitat trees clearly marked;
- Following the assessment clearing around the identified habitat trees carried out:
- Habitat trees left standing for a number of days to encourage any fauna species present to self-relocate;
- Habitat trees then felled under the supervision of the same ecologist;
- Trees felled using the 'soft-fell' technique. Before commencing, this technique will be explained to the excavator operator and positive communication between the operator and ecologist is held throughout soft-felling;
- For this process, each tree is nudged several times to allow any fauna species to self-relocate before being felled as gently as possible;

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan

- All hollows and potential habitat fissures then inspected after each tree is soft-felled; and
- Any fauna found then safely relocated into the adjacent bushland.

#### Managing impacts on fauna

Bloomfield has put in place many measures to minimise impacts on fauna on the site including the following:

- Undertake progressive rehabilitation of all disturbed areas.
- Control noxious weeds at all times.
- Adopt a strategy to rehabilitate specific areas of the mine site to native vegetation;
- Conserve the existing native vegetation in non-mining area of the mine site during the life of the project and in the final landform.
- Carry out, where possible, tree removal, especially the mature trees in late spring and early autumn to avoid spring nesting birds and disturbance to roosting bats over the winter period.
- Undertake pre-clearance surveys and clearing of vegetation as described in the previous section.

# Landscaping the site to minimise visual impacts

The following controls are being implemented by Bloomfield:

- A buffer zone of native bush land is maintained around the site to screen mining and processing operations.
- Progressive rehabilitation of all disturbed areas within the mine site will
  continue to be undertaken. Ongoing rehabilitation by Bloomfield Colliery will
  improve the visual quality for residences with a view of the current mining
  operations, especially to the south of the mining area.
- Priority will be given to the completion of rehabilitation along the southern boundary of the site. This would reduce any potential visual impact, especially for residents in the Buttai Valley and users of John Renshaw Drive.

#### Conserving and reusing topsoil

The activities of stripping and stockpiling of soil resources prior to mining will be undertaken with the aim to:

- Optimise the recovery of topsoil and subsoil available for rehabilitation;
- Manage topsoil and subsoil reserves so as not to degrade the resource;
- Determine the suitability and stripping depth of soil material to be removed;
- Ensure that the reuse of suitable soils is maximised;
- Where possible, stripped soil material placed directly onto shaped overburden areas and spread immediately;
- Stockpiles will be constructed with a "rough" surface condition to reduce the risk of erosion, improve drainage and promote revegetation;
- Topsoil stockpiles will be no deeper than three metres in order to minimise problems with anaerobic conditions;
- Fertilise and seed stockpiles to maintain soil structure, organic matter and microbial activity;
- The appropriate soil ameliorant and fertiliser be applied at an appropriate rate when reuse soil on rehabilitation areas; and
- Implement appropriate weed control strategies particularly for any noxious weeds.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Collecting and propagating seed for rehabilitation works

Coordinated through local suppliers, if it is deemed to be feasible, seed collection will focus on locally native plant species representative of the surrounding native vegetation communities. Where adverse seasonal conditions (ie drought) affect seed production in the project area, local provenance seed should be sought.

Pasture seed will be purchased through local agricultural suppliers.

Salvaging and reusing material for habitat enhancement Where practical, habitat features including felled hollow-bearing trees, hollow logs and rocks may be salvaged during vegetation clearing. These habitat features may be placed on rehabilitated areas for habitat enhancement which provide shelter habitat in the rehabilitation areas and encourage ground dwelling fauna to recolonise the cleared area.

#### Controlling weeds and feral pests

Appropriate noxious weed control methods and programs are being undertaken in consultation with the local council weeds control officers. This program of inspection and eradication will continue as part of the post-mine ongoing maintenance program. The weed management will be required for the life of the project to ensure that no lasting legacy is left at the completion of operations at Bloomfield Colliery.

The mine site will be regularly inspected for weeds, particularly areas being revegetated, as part of a monitoring program aimed at assessing the success of rehabilitation. Additional monitoring or eradication will be undertaken at the request of weeds inspectors of Local Councils. The results of all monitoring will be included in the relevant AEMR.

Periodic feral animal control programs have been undertaken in conjunction with neighboring mines. Activities have included feral dog baiting programs. These programs will continue in future on an as need basis.

#### Controlling access

Being situated close to urban areas, Bloomfield has historically had a problem with dumping of rubbish, theft and vandalism on the site. A major fencing and exclusion barrier program has greatly reduced these occurrences. Bloomfield continues to invest significant time and resources into keeping the site closed to unauthorised access, including fencing along all public roads, installing lockable gates and other temporary barriers (such as logs, rocks and concrete blocks) on major access tracks and ensuring clear signage is placed covering likely approaches.

The proposed final void is designed with 18 degree batters to provide safe access by foot for continued land management and grazing of the area by cattle, in doing so ensuring access and safety for site users and domesticated and native animals.

#### Bushfire management

Bloomfield has implemented a number of measures and safeguards to minimise bushfire risk which include:

- Fitting fire extinguishers to all earthmoving and mining equipment;
- Maintaining fire trails and access roads within the lease area and on Bloomfield controlled land, which serve as access for firefighting services as well as establishing a fire break;
- Regular slashing of boundary fences of the lease area and on Bloomfield controlled land which serve as establishing a fire break;
- Regular slashing of Bloomfield owned grazing properties surrounding the lease area to reduce potential grass fire fuel loads;

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

- Regular contact with Rural Fire Service to assess fuel loads in native bushland surrounding and within the mining operational areas and undertaking hazard reduction burns as deemed necessary;
- On-site water carts with firefighting capabilities.

#### Creek restoration works

Creeks and drainage lines incorporated into rehabilitation areas will be:

- Engineered to be geomorphically stable;
- Include erosion control measures based on vegetation and engineering revetments;
- Incorporate structures for acquatic habitat;
- Revegetated with suitable species.

#### Capping U Cut tailings storage area

The capping of the U-Cut tailings dam is approved under the Abel Project Approval MP 05\_036 which authorises the activity to be undertaken in accordance with the Bloomfield Mining Operations Plan, the Bloomfield Landscape Management Plan and Bloomfield Rehabilitation Management Plan (this Plan).

During the landform establishment phase of rehabilitation, overburden material will be relocated to cap tailings material to final landform design. The material will be non-carbonaceous and will be sourced from the active mining pit and/or backfilled areas adjacent to the tailings dam facility as shown in Figure 3. Approximately 1.5 M m<sup>3</sup> of material is expected to be required for capping to final landform design.

Shaping will principally involve capping and contouring with overburden material into the designed shape for final rehabilitation. Reject material will be covered by a minimum two metres of inert overburden material.



Figure 3: U-Cut Tailings Capping available source material,

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### MONITORING PROTOCOL

Representative monitoring sites will be established in newly rehabilitated areas at an average of one site per 20 hectares of newly rehabilitated land. Each site will be monitored within 12 months of establishment and then every 2 years after. This will provide 3 sets of monitoring data in the first 5 years following rehabilitation. Each monitoring site will be permanently marked using steel pickets or similar. The inspection protocol includes assessment of the following parameters:

- site establishment;
- general condition of rehabilitation;
- weeds;
- fauna;
- vegetation;
- nutrient recycling;
- soils/surface condition; and
- erosion and stability.

A standard monitoring plot design as shown in Figure 4 will be utilised. Further details are provided in the methodology provided in Appendix B. A site monitoring field sheet is provided in Appendix C.




Figure 4 STANDARD LAYOUT OF REHABILITATION MONITORING TRANSECT

	Soil analysis will be undertaken to confirm that growth media is not likely to inhibit the sustainable development of a vegetative cover. As well as field observations and tests made during monitoring, soils analysis will consist of:
	□ collecting representative root zone soil samples during field monitoring; and
	☐ testing for pH, EC and Emmerson Aggregate Test (indication of erosion potential).
	Rehabilitated areas are also inspected annually to note any problem areas (such as bare patches, failed vegetation, drainage structure failure, significant erosion or significant weed infestation) requiring maintenance or further treatment. Remedial works will then be scheduled to address these areas.
Assessment Program	The assessment program is designed to collect sufficient data to compare the results of rehabilitation against the agreed completion criteria. The assessment program consists of three components:
	☐ the annual maintenance inspections,
	□ scheduled rehabilitation monitoring; and
	☐ the review of inspection/measurement data over time to assess rehabilitation performance.
	In the event that the annual inspection finds there are problems, further investigations should be undertaken to determine the possible causes and identify an appropriate remediation strategy. Factors to consider include:
	□ nutrient levels;
	□ soil characteristics and limitations such as depth, pH, salinity;
	insect attack, weeds or other pests;
	☐ drought or storm damage; and
	□ excessive grazing.
	Where appropriate, the rehabilitation procedures will be amended to improve the standard of rehabilitation.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### FINAL ASSESSMENT

Prior to submission of a sign-off proposal, the land proposed for signoff will be subjected to a final maintenance inspection. This inspection will cover the whole area proposed for sign-off. The outcome of the inspection will be a documented description and photographic record of the general condition of rehabilitation, highlighting any areas of potential concern. This report will be included in the submission to DRG.

Once a rehabilitated area is deemed to be potentially suitable for sign-off, a Sign-off Report will be submitted to the regulators. This report will include the following information for the proposed sign-off area:

	CILTURA	lond	alaarla	y showing	tha	proposed	oran:
_	Survey	Tanu	Cicair	y Showing	uic	proposed	arca,

- ☐ area size, disturbance and rehabilitation history;
- monitoring data compared against rehabilitation aim, objectives and completion criteria:
- ☐ final maintenance inspection findings;
- photographs of the proposed area; and
- analysis of rehabilitation development and sustainability.

#### RISK TO REHABILITATION

Bloomfield has completed an overarching risk assessment to identify the potential threats to the success of rehabilitation for the operations. Details of the risk assessment are outlined in the Mining Operations Plan (MOP).

The following Trigger Action Response Plan (TARP) identifies the proposed contingencies strategies in the event of unexpected variations or impacts to rehabilitation outcomes with data as obtained from the monitoring programs and performance criteria and indicators as stipulated in this Plan. Table 3 outlines the key identified risks, triggers and proposed mitigation measures.

Table 3 PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES TO REDUCE KEY RISKS

Risk	Trigger Proposed Mitigation Measure			
Geotechnical failure of	Landform not consistent with	Review emplacement design, including survey if required.		
emplacement area such as slumping.	Landform Establishment.	Undertake reshaping of emplacement area minimising slopes >10°.		
Off-site release of contaminants from mined materials requiring long term	Data obtained from compliance monitoring program indicates exceedance.	Ongoing monitoring of runoff and seepage waters during operations to validate predictions.		
management or treatment.	program indicates exceedance.	Mitigation measures as proposed in the WMP.		
	Monitoring and vegetation	Manage topsoil, overburden and substrate management procedures and soil testing.		
Inadequate or insufficient topsoil to create/enhance the	assessments highlight inadequate ground cover and or paucity in species diversity / distribution.	Implement maintenance revegetation program including seeding, tubestock planting of native overstorey species, fertiliser.		
desired ecological communities.	Soil analysis indicates soil parameters are not compatible to post mining vegetation	Amend growing media by the addition of organic matter e/g biosolids in areas returning to pasture.		
	community.	Assess soil for weed contamination and treat affected soil.		
	Data obtained from	Erosion and sedimentation controls will be employed during rehabilitation activities, including rehabilitation of the creek diversion and the repair of subsidence areas, in accordance with the WMP.		
Wind and water erosion.	compliance monitoring program indicates exceedance in suspended sediment.	Maintenance earth and revegetation works will be undertaken in the areas where erosion has been noted.		
	Complaints relating to dust.	Annual monitoring detailed above will be designed to determine the type, source, degree, and location of potential erosion sites and source of sediment.		
		Careful use of weed free topsoil and/or topsoil management.		
		Encourage rapid establishment of ground cover species designed to outcompete weed species.		
Impact of weeds and /or vertebrate pest animal leading to widespread failure of	Monitoring and vegetation assessments highlight increased weed competition.	Assessment and management of weed incursions on topsoil stockpiles prior to respreading.		
revegetation ecosystems.		Weed control undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the <i>Noxious Weeds Act</i> 1993.		
		Control of pest animal species in accordance with industry guidelines.		

Risk	Trigger	Proposed Mitigation Measure		
Poor vegetation establishment success.	Monitoring data indicates non- compliance with performance criteria of the monitoring program in terms of landscape function, biodiversity and	Species mix used in enhancement/rehabilitation programs are aligned to the floristic structure of the plant community of the site.  Pasture species selection will be reviewed in		
Pasture areas not suitable for grazing productively.	pasture productivity.  Monitoring data indicates non-compliance with performance criteria of the monitoring program in terms of pasture productivity.	Pasture species selection will be reviewed in context of pasture productivity.  Conduct remedial treatment such as soil amelioration, reseeding etc.		
Spontaneous combustion destabilising land surface and impeding vegetation establishment	Significant or continued spontaneous combustion surface impacts.	Apply capping, or dig out affected area where possible and seal, remedial earthworks with inert material and revegetate.  Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan		
Asset Protection Zone (APZ) is not maintained in context of bushfire risk.	Site assessment shows unacceptable fuel levels.	Control and maintain a suitable Asset Protection Zone surrounding rehabilitation areas by slashing and controlled grazing.		
Major storm event resulting in flooding, geotechnical instability, major erosion and/or widespread damage to rehabilitated areas.	Weather warnings relate to severe storms and localized flooding.  Monitoring program indicates lack of adequate ground cover.	Design final landforms, structures and revegetation to cope with major storm events.  Implement maintenance program on sediment structures.		
Severe and/or prolonged drought leading to widespread failure of revegetation.	Monitoring and vegetation assessments highlight inadequate ground cover and or paucity in species diversity / distribution.	Selection of drought-tolerant species for revegetation.  Selection of species aligned to desired vegetation community.  Time plantings to take advantage of idealweather conditions.		
Changing climate leading to failure of rehabilitation, failure of environmental management controls and/or inability to attain completion criteria.	Monitoring and vegetation assessments highlight inadequate ground cover and or paucity in species diversity / distribution.  Soil analysis indicates soil parameters are not compatible to post mining vegetation community.	Assess climate change risks and implement appropriate measures where required.  Use of biosolids, compost materials and mulches to increase organic carbon levels and improve soil structure with resultant increase in infiltration and water holding capacity.		
New regulatory requirements or evolving community expectations leading to difficulties negotiating or attaining completion criteria.	Changes in relevant legislation.	Monitor trends and developments in legislation and changes to community expectations.  Consult with stakeholders to gain acceptance of completion criteria.		

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### AUDIT AND REVIEW

The ongoing effectiveness and efficiency of this Management System is monitored as part of the operation's day-to-day management. Feedback from this and other more formal reviews and/ or following special occurrences, form the basis for System improvement and re-design.

In accordance with Condition 4 of Schedule 3, Bloomfield must review, and if necessary revise the Rehabilitation Management Plan after submission of an Annual Review, incident report, independent audit report or modification to approval conditions.

#### General Conditions of Review

In general Management Systems are reviewed and up-dated conditional as follows:

- ☐ Every three years; or
- ☐ Whenever there is a significant change to relevant legislation; or
- ☐ If required to do so by the Regulations; or
- ☐ Whenever there is a significant change to the operations; or
- ☐ If required (in writing) to do so by the Chief Inspector; or
- ☐ Whenever control measures are found to be ineffective either through:
  - changes to the working environment; or
  - ♦ changes to operating systems; or
  - subsequent risk assessments; or
  - the findings of an audit; or
  - following a fatality or dangerous incident that could reasonably have been expected to result in a fatality; or
  - following an assessment of a related safety alert.

#### Continual Improvement

Operational activities will be subject to regular review to ensure conformance with commitment made in the EMS and subordinate plans and strategies. The blast monitoring program will be reviewed every three years or more frequently if required to identify areas that may require improvement.

The review process may include formalised procedures such as internal and external audits or feedback from consultation.

#### DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Copies of this document are managed under the Group Document Management, Management System. This document and other relevant documents are kept on site and are available to all employees.

Rehabilitation Management Plan

# APPENDIX A COMPLETION CRITERIA

(Source: Bloomfield MOP 2021 – 2023)

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Phase 1 - Decommissioning					
Domain 1 - Infrastructure					
	Services disconnected and removed.	All infrastructure not required, or identified for post-closure landuse, removed.	Post closure approved		Not commenced
	Buildings and fixed plant removed.		landuse will require access, easements and		
Where not required in the Ashtonfield	All road infrastructure required left in place in maintained condition.	Remaining infrastructure subject to obtaining appropriate	some infrastructure. (ie Hunter Water) PA 07_0087 PA_ 05_0136		
Agreement, all buildings, fixed plant and powerlines will be demolished and removed from the site.	Sealed roads not required to be stripped of bitumen surface.	regulatory approvals.			
Under the Ashtonfield Agreement designated roads will be left in a maintained condition at the end of operations suitable for 2WD or 4WD		Carbonaceous material removed from CHPP area and placed in mine void.		No	
dry weather access.	Hazardous and contaminated materials removed and remediated.	Phase 2 Contamination Assessment carried out. Contaminated materials remediated or removed from site in accordance with NEPM Guidelines.	This MOP  NEPM Schedule B2		
Domain 2 – Tailings Storage Area					
All infrastructure used for transporting water and fine rejects slurry between the U-Cut Tailings Dam and the CHPP will be removed.	Services disconnected and removed.	All infrastructure not required, or identified for post-closure landuse, removed.	Post closure approved landuse will require access, easements and	No	In progress
Piezometers will be left behind to measure groundwater movement as part of monitoring program.	All pumping infrastructure removed.	Piezometers remaining subject to appropriate regulatory approval / licences.	some infrastructure. (ie Hunter Water) PA 07_0087 PA_ 05_0136		

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Domain 3 – Water Management Area					
Dams required for final landuse will remain as required.	All pumping infrastructure removed	- All infrastructure not required, or	Post closure approved landuse will require		
	Services disconnected and removed.	identified for post-closure landuse, removed.  Remaining infrastructure subject to obtaining appropriate regulatory approvals.	access, easements and some infrastructure. (ie Hunter Water) PA 07_0087 PA_ 05_0136	No	Not commenced
All infrastructure used for transporting water between storage dams and the CHPP will be removed.	Lake Foster and Lake Kennerson	Retained water storage dams spilling water quality would satisfy ANZECC (2000) Guidelines.	ANZECC Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Waters	No	
	drained of process water and mine water under EPL conditions	Harvestable rights for retained dams will be determined and any actions to satisfy these rights will be addressed prior to mine closure.	Water Management Act 2000		
Phase 2 – Landform Establishment					
Domain 1 - Infrastructure					
	Maximum slopes gradients less than 10°.	< 10 degrees as per approved MOP	This MOP		
	Drainage designed to utilise existing sediment control structures.	Works completed			Not
The landform will be re-graded and contoured	Area deep ripped to reduce compaction.	Works completed	PA_05_0136		
to be compatible with surrounding natural landscape.	Track banks and batters trimmed to achieve landform matching surrounding landform.	Works completed	PA 07_0087 Appendix 4 Approved final landform This MOP Rehabilitation	No	commenced
	Unnecessary culverts removed.	Works completed	Management Plan		
	Natural drainage paths re-instated, utilising appropriate sediment controls if necessary.	Works completed			

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Domain 2 – Tailings Storage Area					
Overburden material that has been left in close proximity to the Tailings Storage Dam will be relocated to cap tailings material.  The landform will be graded and contoured to be compatible with surrounding natural landscape as far as possible.	Capping of reject / tailings material	At least 2 metres	This MOP PA_ 05_0136 Rehabilitation Management Plan- Bloomfield		
	Slope gradients generally less than 10°, no slopes greater than 18°.	< 18 degrees.	This MOP  PA_ 05_0136  Rehabilitation  Management Plan- Bloomfield	No	In progress
	Drainage designed to utilise existing sediment control structures and integrated with the drainage features on the adjacent landscape.	Works completed	PA_ 05_0136 Rehabilitation Management Plan- Bloomfield		
Domain 3 – Water Management Area					
Where no longer required for post-closure water management, diversion drains and sediment ponds will be backfilled and rehabilitated, and levees breached and stabilised to allow natural catchment flow.	Diversion drains and banks pushed in an ripped	Works completed	This MOP PA 07_0087 PA_ 05_0136 Approved final landforms Rehabilitation Management Plan- Bloomfield	No	Not commenced

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Phase 3 – Growth Medium Development					
Domain 1 - Infrastructure					
	Suitable top soil material applied	Minimum 100mm of growth media	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	Biosolids application, if required	Approximately 100 t/Ha	As per NSW EPA Biosolids Guidelines		
The areas will be top dressed with appropriate top soil material to provide suitable growth medium.	Soil ameliorant application ( Green mulch, Lime, Gypsum) if required.	Dependent on soil analysis	Rates dependent on soil analysis results This MOP (Section 7)	No	Not commenced
	Soil surface prepared in roughened condition.	Ripping completed	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	Tracks deep ripped to reduce compaction	Ripping completed	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
Domain 2 – Tailings Storage Area					
	Suitable top soil material applied	Minimum 100mm of growth media	Rehabilitation Management Plan		In progress
The areas will be top dressed with appropriate	Biosolids application, if required	Approximately 100 t/Ha	As per NSW EPA Biosolids Guidelines		
top soil material to provide suitable growth medium.	Soil ameliorant application (OGM, Lime, Gypsum) if required.	Dependent on soil analysis	Rates dependent on soil analysis results This MOP (Section 7)	No	
	Soil surface prepared in roughened condition.	Ripping completed	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
Domain 3 – Water Management Area					
Remaining disturbed areas after removal of any infrastructure will be ripped to develop a suitable growth medium for pasture and native tree species	Diversion drain soil surface prepared in roughened condition	Ripping completed	Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	Not commenced

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Domain 4 - Overburden Emplacement					
The areas will be top dressed with appropriate top soil material to provide suitable growth medium.	Suitable top soil material applied	Minimum 100mm of growth media	Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	
	Biosolids application, if required	Approximately 100 t/Ha	As per NSW EPA Biosolids Guidelines		
	Soil ameliorant application (OGM, Lime, Gypsum) if required.	Dependent on soil analysis	Rates dependent on soil analysis results This MOP (Section 7)		Ongoing
	Soil surface prepared in roughened condition.	Ripping completed	Rehabilitation Management Plan		

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Phase 4 – Ecosystem and Land Use Establishment					
Domain 1 - Infrastructure					
All areas will be seeded with a pasture grass seed mix suitable for grazing purposes or seeded with a mix of native tree species	Appropriate pasture grass species or native seed selected.	Species selected as per Section 7	This MOP (Section 7) Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	Not
similar to the surrounding vegetation community in accordance with PA 07_0087 Approved final landform.	Seeding rate	Pasture 50 kg /Ha, native trees 7.5 kg /Ha	PA 07_0087 Approved final landform		commenced
Domain 2 – Tailings Storage Area					
Areas overlying tailings material will be seeded with a pasture seed mix only to reduce the	Appropriate pasture grass species selected.	Species selected as per Section 7	This MOP (Section 7) Rehabilitation	No	In progress
risk of subsurface combustion. These areas will be suitable for grazing purposes.	Seeding rate	Pasture 50 kg /Ha	Management Plan PA 07_0087 Approved final landform		
Domain 3 – Water Management Area					
The areas will be seeded with pasture grass	Appropriate pasture grass species or native seed selected.	Species selected as per Section 7	This MOP (Section 7) Rehabilitation		Not commenced
seed or native tree species in accordance with PA 07_0087 Approved final landform.	Seeding rate	Pasture 50 kg /Ha, native trees 7.5 kg /Ha	Management Plan PA 07_0087 Approved final landform	No	
Domain 4 – Overburden Emplacement					
Shaped overburden emplacement areas will be seeded with a pasture grass seed mix suitable for grazing purposes or seeded with a mix of native tree species in accordance with PA 07_0087 Approved final landform. This will result in a mix of rural pasture and trees over pasture blending with surrounding landscape.	Appropriate pasture grass species or native seed selected.	Species selected as per Section 7	This MOP (Section 7) Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	Ongoing
	Seeding rate	Pasture 50 kg /Ha, native trees 7.5 kg /Ha	PA 07_0087 Approved final landform		Ongoing

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Phase 5 – Ecosystem and Land Use Sustainability					
Domain 1 - Infrastructure					
	Stable water management structures such as diversion drains and stock dams	Water management structures functioning as designed and able to support grazing activities.	Rehabilitation Management Plan PA 07_0087 Approved final landuse	No	Not commenced
	Ground cover %	>70%, or combined live and litter cover of 70% in tree areas	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	Litter cover %	Present at 75% of sites with 20% litter cover.	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
Pasture developed to point of sustainability capable of supporting appropriate livestock grazing pressures and trees over pasture developed with evidence of natural regeneration of similar species to the surrounding vegetation community.	Presence of rill erosion	Monitoring indicates rills remaining stable in number and size. <30cm wide and deep.	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	Presence of weeds	No significant infestations of declared weeds.  Weeds controlled in accordance with relevant legislation  Weeds account for <15% of total herbage mass	Rehabilitation Management Plan Noxious Weeds Act 1993 Weed Management Plan		
	Soil pH	pH 4.5 - 9	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Soil EC	EC <0.6 dS/m	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Soil EAT Class	Class 3-8	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Tree species displaying successful recruitment	Monitoring results show evidence of successful recruitment	Rehabilitation Management Plan	1	

<30cm wide and deep

Plan

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
	Presence of weeds	No significant infestations of declared weeds.  Weeds controlled in accordance with relevant legislation  Weeds account for <15% of total herbage mass	Rehabilitation Management Plan Noxious Weeds Act 1993 Weed Management Plan		
	Soil pH	pH 4.5 - 9	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Soil EC	EC <0.6 dS/m	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		In progress
Pasture developed to point of sustainability capable of supporting appropriate livestock grazing pressures.	Soil EAT Class	Class 3-8	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)	No	
grazing proceduce.	LFA monitoring results	Stability index >50 Infiltration index >25 Nutrient cycling index >20	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	LFA index results	Comparable to analogue sites	Analogue sites		
	Pasture soil analysis	Comparable with non-mined grazing reference site	Grazing monitoring program Analogue sites		
	Pasture biomass	Comparable with non-mined grazing reference site	Grazing monitoring program Analogue sites		
Domain 3 – Water Management Area					
Pasture developed to point of sustainability capable of supporting appropriate livestock grazing pressures and native vegetation developed with evidence of natural regeneration of similar species to the surrounding vegetation community.	Stable water management structures such as diversion drains and stock dams	Water management structures functioning as designed and able to support grazing activities.	Rehabilitation Management Plan PA 07_0087 Approved final landuse		
	Ground cover %	>70%, or combined live and litter cover of 70% in tree areas	Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	Not commenced
	Presence of rill erosion	Monitoring indicates rills remaining stable in number and size <30cm wide and deep	Rehabilitation Management Plan		

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
	Presence of rill erosion	Monitoring indicated rills remaining stable in number and size <30 cm wide and deep	Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	
	Presence of weeds	No significant infestations of declared weeds.  Weeds controlled in accordance with relevant legislation  Weeds account for <15% of total herbage mass	Rehabilitation Management Plan Noxious Weeds Act 1993 Weed Management Plan		
	Soil pH	pH 4.5 - 9	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		Ongoing
Destrue developed to point of quotainshills	Soil EC	EC <0.6 dS/m	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
Pasture developed to point of sustainability capable of supporting appropriate livestock grazing pressures and native vegetation	Soil EAT Class	Class 3-8	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
developed with evidence of natural regeneration of similar species to the surrounding vegetation community.	Tree species displaying successful recruitment	Monitoring results show evidence of successful recruitment	Rehabilitation Management	110	
	Tree species assemblages and health characteristic of species found within region	Tree species composition and health is comparable to analogue site	Plan Analogue sites		
	LFA monitoring results	Stability index >50 Infiltration index >25 Nutrient cycling index >20	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	LFA index results	Comparable to analogue sites	Analogue sites		
	Pasture soil analysis	Comparable with non-mined grazing reference site	Grazing monitoring program Analogue sites		
	Pasture biomass	Comparable with non-mined grazing reference site	Grazing monitoring program Analogue sites		

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
Domain C & D – Rehabilitated Areas					
	Stable water management structures such as diversion drains and stock dams	Water management structures functioning as designed and able to support grazing activities.	Rehabilitation Management Plan PA 07_0087 Approved final landform		
	Ground cover %	>70% , or combined live and litter cover of 70% in tree areas	Rehabilitation Management Plan		Ongoing
	Litter cover %	Present at 75% of sites with 20% litter cover.	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
	Presence of rill erosion	Monitoring indicates rills remaining stable in number and size, <30cm wide and deep	Rehabilitation Management Plan		
These areas require maintenance and		No significant infestations of declared weeds.	Rehabilitation Management	No	
monitoring only. Maintenance may include periodic fertiliser application, weed	Presence of weeds	Weeds controlled in accordance with relevant legislation	Plan Noxious Weeds Act 1993		
management and soil conservation works		Weeds account for <15% of total herbage mass	Weed Management Plan		
	Soil pH	pH 4.5 - 9	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Soil EC	EC <0.6 dS/m	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Soil EAT Class	Class 3-8	ACARP Project C13048 (2004)		
	Tree species displaying successful recruitment	Monitoring results show evidence of successful recruitment	Rehabilitation Management		
	Tree species assemblages and health characteristic of species found within region	Tree species composition and health is comparable to analogue site	Plan Analogue sites		

Objective	Performance Indicator	Completion Criteria	Justification	Complete (Yes/No)	Progress at end of MOP
	LFA monitoring results	Stability index >50 Infiltration index >25 Nutrient cycling index >20	Rehabilitation Management Plan	No	Ongoing
	LFA index results	Comparable to analogue sites	Analogue sites		
	Pasture herbage mass	>800 kg DM/ha			
	Pasture % dead matter	<50%			
These areas require maintenance and	Crude protein of pasture	>2%	This MOP Grazing monitoring program		
monitoring only. Maintenance may include periodic fertiliser application, weed	Digestibility of pasture dry matter	>40%			
management and soil conservation works	Metabolisable energy of pasture	>6MJ/kg DM			
	Potential stocking rates	2-4 DSE/Ha	NSW DPI Beef Stocking Rates and Farm Size – Hunter Region (2006) Grazing monitoring program		
	Pasture soil analysis	Comparable with non-mined grazing reference site	Grazing monitoring program Analogue sites		
	Pasture biomass	Comparable with non-mined grazing reference site	Grazing monitoring program Analogue sites		

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan

# APPENDIX B REHABILITATION METHODOLOGY

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### INTRODUCTION

During mining operations, the landscape is subjected to substantial disturbance. This disturbance results mainly from the excavation of the open cut pits, and placement of overburden dumps. Land disturbance is also caused by the construction of infrastructure, such as roads, dams, drains, buildings, workshops, CHPP facilities, hardstands and stockpile areas. Once no longer required, these disturbed areas are progressively rehabilitated. Rehabilitation works generally consist of reshaping overburden dumps and re-establishment of a vegetative cover, as outlined in the Rehabilitation Works section of this document.

Following these works, the rehabilitated land is managed to ensure continued development and sustainability. Bloomfield must demonstrate to all relevant stakeholders that the disturbed land has been suitably rehabilitated. Rehabilitation development and sustainability is monitored via a program of periodic assessments, which measure rehabilitation parameters (such as soil characteristics, landform stability and vegetation establishment) against specific criteria for the completion of rehabilitation (referred to as "completion criteria" for the remainder of this document). As well as completion criteria used for final assessment of rehabilitation, appropriate indicators have also been selected to assess rehabilitation development.

This document forms part of the Rehabilitation Management Plan (RMP) implemented by the Bloomfield Group (Bloomfield) to facilitate the preparation, management and sign-off of rehabilitated lands at Bloomfield mining operations. The key processes of this system (i.e. selection of rehabilitation objectives) are described generically in the in this Appendix.

#### REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

The key	elements	of the	KMP	include:
---------	----------	--------	-----	----------

□ setting overall rehabilitation aim and objectives.

C4 DMD: 1 1

- developing appropriate rehabilitation indicators and completion criteria;
- undertaking land rehabilitation;
- developing and implementing a rehabilitation assessment program;
- continuing rehabilitation management and maintenance; and
- presenting a request for rehabilitation sign-off to regulators, supported by results from the assessment program.

Where required, these elements are completed with input and agreement from relevant external parties, such as landowners and regulators. A flowchart of the RMP is presented in Figure 1. System elements are discussed in the following subsections.

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan




Figure 1 BLOOMFIELD GROUP REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

REHABILITATION
OBJECTIVES &
CRITERIA

Before rehabilitation assessment commences, suitable completion criteria must be agreed upon. These criteria are drawn from the rehabilitation aim and objectives which, in turn, reflect the proposed post-mining land-use. The following subsections outline the purpose of these various elements. Rehabilitation aim, objectives and criteria for Bloomfield mining operations are presented in the individual operation Rehabilitation Plans attached to this document.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### Rehabilitation Aim

The rehabilitation aim is a broad overview statement which outlines the strategic intention for rehabilitation works at the site. It is a general statement drawn largely from the proposed post-mining land-use, as previously negotiated with regulators and other relevant stakeholders. The aim should be relatively concise, with little technical detail included.

The aim may apply to an entire site or, where distinctly different post-mining land-uses are proposed, may apply to specific areas, or domains, of rehabilitation within a site.

#### Rehabilitation Objectives

The rehabilitation aim should be expanded into a set of rehabilitation objectives that clearly describe the desired outcome for the rehabilitated land. These objectives further describe the specific rehabilitation attributes required to achieve the proposed post-mining land-use. Although completion criteria will be specific to the location and proposed land-use at each mining operation, generic industry leading practice for rehabilitation completion should, at a minimum, include reference to (Nichols, 2006):

- ☐ the return of a safe and geotechnically stable landform, compatible with the surrounding landscape;
- ☐ the establishment of a sustainable vegetation community;
- minimal offsite impacts (i.e. dust, water quality, visual amenity, weeds);
- ☐ minimal requirement for ongoing maintenance; and
- ☐ a demonstrated post-mining land-use compatible with adjacent, district and regional land-uses.

## Completion Criteria and Indicators

Completion criteria present a series of agreed values or characteristics that indicate when rehabilitated land is resilient and sustainable, without the need for excessive continued maintenance. If achieved, completion criteria indicate that rehabilitated land is considered suitable for sign-off. At a minimum, completion criteria should address landscape parameters such as stability, soils, vegetation establishment, potential for off-site impacts and suitability for the agreed post-mining land-use.

To assist with the assessment of rehabilitation development and enable the early identification of problems, progress indicators should also be selected. These indicators should be closely linked to the completion criteria and should trigger remedial action, if required, to ensure rehabilitated land is progressing towards the agreed completion criteria in a timeframe consistent with the overall mine plan.

#### Rehabilitation Works

If the initial rehabilitation of a disturbed area is compromised due to inadequate planning or provision of resources, failure of the rehabilitation is a likely outcome, resulting in costly maintenance and remedial treatment. In order to ensure rehabilitated land meets the agreed outcomes in a cost-efficient manner, the considerations presented in this section should be integrated into rehabilitation planning and works.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Resource Planning

A diverse range of resources, including natural resources, mobile plant, rehabilitation supplies and technical expertise are required to ensure the successful and cost effective rehabilitation of disturbed areas. Some of these resources may require substantial forward planning. A list of common resources required for the rehabilitation of disturbed areas is presented below. This list is only indicative and additional resources may also be required.

ma	y also be required.
Na	tural Resources recovered from site
	Pre-clearance surveys will be conducted as per the Land Disturbance Management Procedure
	Water – rainfall or irrigation. Landform design can be used to enhance water retention and availability.
	Tree and grass seed – provenance seed from on-site/district/regional vegetation communities is preferable, but seed is more likely to be bought as a seed mix from seed vendors.
	Topdressing material – if available and of suitable quality, natural topsoil is preferable for topdressing of rehabilitation, due to various physical, chemical and biological advantages.
	Mulch – if practicable, mulch shredded from cleared vegetation pushed up during clearing should be used to supplement topsoil as a growth medium during rehabilitation.
	Rock – competent rock is sometimes required for armouring of water drop structures or steep faces on benched landforms.
Rei	habilitation supplies
	Soil ameliorants – depending on overburden/soil geochemistry, may require lime, dolomite or gypsum.
	Seed mix – seed mix may need to be purchased through seed vendors, as opposed to being collected on-site. Species selection should be based on post-mine land-use (i.e. grazing, habitat, landform stability, etc). Seed should be stored in a cool and secure location.
	Tubestock – Tubestock will need to be stored in a cool, secure place and should be purchased as close to the planned planting date as possible. Tubestock should be planned for approximately 200 plants pre hectare.
	Fertiliser – Usually Croplift 15, or as advised, at approximately 200 kg/ha.
	Mulch/compost – where sufficient topsoil is not available and not practical to shred and spread timber cleared in advance of mining, imported mulch/compost may be arranged through green waste contractors (usually operating out of waste landfills or transfer stations). Imported mulch/compost will comply with the EPA's Resource Recovery Exemptions under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014. As mulch/compost should only be stored in stockpiles up to 3m high, and requires stockpiling for a minimum of three weeks for weed pasteurisation, substantial stockpile area is required as close as possible to the area under rehabilitation. Mulch/compost should also be co-located with biosolids for mixing before spreading.

## **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Resource Planning (Cont)		Biosolids – a good source of supplementary topdressing material where sufficient natural topsoil is not available. Biosolids should be handled, stored and spread in accordance with the NSW EPA guidelines (1997), including appropriate soil testing to ensure heavy metals threshold concentrations are not exceeded (conducted by biosolids contractor). Imported biosolids will comply with the EPA's Resource Recovery Exemptions under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014. As with imported mulch, biosolids require stockpile area as close as possible to the area under rehabilitation. Biosolids stockpile areas should be isolated from surface drainage and natural watercourses to prevent contamination.
		Natural Material – where sufficient topsoil is not available imported excavated natural material may be utilised as topdressing material for rehabilitation works. Imported natural material will comply with the EPA's Resource Recovery Exemptions under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014.
		Fencing and signage – may be required to isolate or protect established rehabilitation from interference or vehicle access. This may also include temporary sediment control fencing.
		Geotextiles or fibre matting – sometimes specialist materials such geotextile fabric (for drainage structures) or fibre matting (erosion protection and weed suppression) may also be required.
	Plc	ant and machinery
		Internal transport/haulage – trucks (rear dumps or road trucks) may be required for bulk relocation of rehabilitation resources (i.e. mulch/biosolids or topsoil) from stockpiles to rehabilitation. To eliminate the need for unnecessary double handling, all efforts should be made to establish delivery stockpile pads as close as possible to the areas under rehabilitation.
		Considerable mobile plant hours will be required, especially during the landform reshaping and rock raking phases. Likely plant include dozer, tractor and spreader, grader and water truck. Mobile plant will generally be supplied "in-house", with contractors only required where specialist services are necessary.
		Access to field service support may also be required. In addition to plant operational hours, downtime should be allowed for on longterm projects for routine servicing and maintenance.
	Ни	eman
		Human resources will also be required, and may include:
	•	technical experts (i.e. drainage design, native species selection);
	•	surveyors (landform design and planning);
	•	project management (daily supervision, co-ordination and decision making);
	•	media testing (soil/spoil characterisation, biosolids analysis);
	•	plant operators; and
	•	maintenance (fencers and weed sprayers).

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Scheduling

The following considerations should be integrated into scheduling for rehabilitation projects:

- ☐ Prioritisation the rehabilitation program will usually be decided on well in advance and will be presented in the most recent mining operations plan (MOP). However, where there is a backlog, or multiple sites to select from, the rehabilitation program should be decided on in consultation with representatives from across the mine, based on selection criteria such as:
- Specific rehabilitation requirements due to regulatory conditions or landowner agreement;
- ♦ High profile areas (i.e. visible to the public);
- Areas with the greatest potential for offsite or watercourse impact;
- Resource intensive areas due to reshaping requirements. Mining schedule logically, rehabilitation will usually follow the disturbance resulting from mining operations. Therefore, the mining schedule and rehabilitation program should be closely integrated. The mining schedule will also dictate availability of mobile plant and operators. It will also indicate other proposed infrastructure (pads, roads or drains) that will influence or limit the extent of rehabilitation. The mining schedule also includes the proposed pre-stripping plan and, therefore, potential availability of topsoil.
- ☐ Land availability it is preferable to rehabilitate large areas of landform to take advantage of the economies of scale offered through bulk rehabilitation. Rehabilitation of small sections of land is not only less economical, but increases the likelihood of rehabilitation failure due to the impacts of edge effects. To prevent interference with mining operations, ensure the Mine Manager specifies that an area is available for rehabilitation, before commencing.
- ☐ Climate although the potential for successful rehabilitation may be increased from timely rainfall, climatic patterns should be considered a lesser priority in rehabilitation scheduling than the availability of plant, resources and land. However, predicted weather patterns (summer v winter) may influence selection of species in the seed mix to suit the anticipated temperature and moisture levels.
- ☐ Maintenance time and resources also need to be allocated for post-rehabilitation maintenance activities, such as weed treatment, grass slashing, remedial treatment of bare patches and wash outs.

Landform Reshaping Reshaping principally involves recontouring overburden dumps into the designed shape for final rehabilitation. The bulk movement of overburden is usually undertaken using bulldozers. Ideally, reshaping will result in a stable landform with slopes and drainage patterns which blend in with the surrounding natural topography. Slope stability is integral to rehabilitation design and slopes in excess of 10 degrees are not favoured. However, slopes steeper than 10 degrees may be necessary in some locations to ensure rehabilitation merges seamlessly with adjacent undisturbed land.

Particular attention should be paid to the placement of overburden exhibiting hostile characteristics (low pH, sodicity, etc). Such material should be identified and isolated from vegetation root zones and areas of potentially high surface run off. If possible, such material should be covered with a layer of more inert capping material, if available. Likewise, any reject emplacements integrated into the landform being reshaped will be covered by at least two meters of inert material. Site experience has

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

found this to be the minimum cover required to ensure successful long-term revegetation over reject materials.

Once bulk reshaping is completed, the landform is deep-ripped and the final trim/rock raking are undertaken. The ripping loosens up any near surface strata within the landform that have been compacted during placement, aiding root penetration during vegetation establishment. The final trim smooths out any wash-outs and gullies, rough edges, temporary access tracks, local steep slopes and prepares the surface for revegetation. Rock-raking the final stage of reshaping and removes or buries exposed surface rock greater than 200 mm in diameter. This raking is usually done along the contour, leaving a cultivated surface that assists with erosion minimisation until vegetation can be established.

Suitable drainage must also be integrated into the rehabilitation design, to ensure the final landform can safely shed surface runoff without erosion damage being caused. Until an adequate vegetation cover is re-established, there is a high potential for erosion, resulting in resource loss, gully formation and the need for expensive remedial treatment. Therefore, long or steep slopes should be divided up by the construction of contour banks to collect and divert water off the slopes. Contour banks should run the surface water at a drop of no greater than 1 in 100 into a drainage line (via a sediment dam) or into some form of protected drop structure that will run the water down the gradient in a controlled or protected manner.

It is essential that drainage design for rehabilitation projects is fully integrated with the drainage features on the adjacent landscape, whether mine disturbed, rehabilitated or natural. Sufficient sediment control structures should be integrated into the drainage system to reduce, or intercept, sediment load being transported by surface run-off.

#### Revegetation

Most vegetation establishment during land rehabilitation is via direct seeding. Tubestock planting is only used when required for rapid establishment of tree screens or forestry plots. Tree seed mix, as opposed to pasture grass mix, will generally be sown so as to establish tree communities on areas such as steep slopes and hill tops. This assists in breaking up landform profiles and increasing slope stability. Efforts should be made to ensure that rehabilitated tree areas are not straight edged, but blend in with adjacent remnant vegetation. Trees should not be established on capping layers (i.e. rejects emplacements or hostile spoil) or other structures designed for long term exclusion and shedding of water.

Revegetation of the reshaped landform is generally undertaken in accordance with the steps below. These steps are indicative only and the order may vary, with some steps being excluded completely, based on the specific landform requirements and resource availability:

Soil amelioration – spreading and integration of soil/spoil ameliorants into surface
layer to address soil acidity and assist with soil structural properties. Ameliorants
usually include lime and gypsum at a rate of up to 200kg/ha each (or as advised by
biosolids contractor), ploughed into the tope 30cm of the profile.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

	Revegetation (	(cont)
--	----------------	--------

□ Topdressing - if available, topsoil stripped ahead of mining will be applied to the reshaped surface in an even layer generally not less than 100mm. Depending on the quality of the topdressing material, ameliorants may be integrated with topsoil at this stage. Where topsoil has not been available in sufficient volumes, biosolids and biosolids/mulch mix have been successfully used to improve soil structure and act as a source of nutrients, improving establishment of vegetation. Biosolids are generally applied at a rate no greater than 100 tonnes/ha (wet weight), using a tractor towed spreader trailer. A biosolids/mulch mix (1:1 ratio) has been shown to be very successful topsoil supplement and is usually applied at a rate of 200 − 250 tonnes/ha.

Integration – once the material has been topdressed, the surface will be contour disc or chisel ploughed to integrate the topdressing material. This assists in binding the topdressing material with the underlying spoil and is a requirement of the EPA biosolids guidelines. The area is then contour cultivated to create seed entrapments and microclimates prior to sowing.

- Sowing/fertilising the area is then sown and fertilised with the selected grass and/or tree seed mixes. These works are undertaken shortly after spreading the topsoil to avoid loss in activity of pre-existing micro flora, it also minimises the loss of topsoil due to wind and rain action. Fertiliser is not required, where biosolids has been applied.
- □ Rolling the final stage is rolling the ground. This presses the spread seed, increasing contact with the soil and increasing the probability of germination. It also pushes down any exposed rocks, decreasing surface rockiness.
- Bushfire Management a hazard reduction plan has been drawn up in consultation with the Rural Fire Service. The Rural Fire Service conduct hazard reduction activities on Company managed lands surrounding the mining operation. The ongoing maintenance program on rehabilitated areas involves slashing to reduce the bulk of vegetative matter. As well as providing surface mulch, this also reduces the fire hazard of those areas. Grazing on older established rehabilitation area may also be conducted to reduce fuel loads.

#### ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

The aim of the assessment program is to collect sufficient data on the rehabilitated land to compare against the agreed completion criteria to assess rehabilitation development, sustainability and suitability for sign-off. Assessment programs consist of three components, (a) the annual maintenance inspections, (b) scheduled rehabilitation monitoring, and (c) the review of inspection/measurement data over time to assess rehabilitation performance. These components are referred generically as part of the "assessment program".

Programs should be designed to collect sufficient evidence (i.e. landscape, soils, vegetation, landuse, water quality) to prove achievement of rehabilitation objectives. Cost, repeatability and practicality of the proposed monitoring methodology should also be considered, along with the long-term availability of resources to ensure monitoring completion.

#### Rehabilitation Management Plan

DOCUMENTING REHABILITATION PRACTICES

Rehabilitation practices and relevant site details are documented during the rehabilitation process so that future rehabilitation assessment data can be correctly interpreted. This is undertaken immediately following the establishment of each new area of rehabilitation. Table A1 presents the type of information which should be recorded.

## **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Rehabilitation	Location
Ttemas interior	Minescape
	polygon ID:
	Approx Area:
	Date of Rehab:
Landform	Average slope
	gradient:
	Steepest slope
	gradient:
Drainage	Contour bank
	design (number,
	interval, gradient)
	Contour banks
	discharge into?
	Other drainage structures (dams,
	drops structures,
	diversions)
Surface preparation	Topsoil used
Surface preparation	(source, depth of
	spreading)
	spreading)
	Ameliorants or
	supplements used
	(rate/ha)
	Ripping (depth,
	type)
Vegetation	Method (Direct
Establishment	seeding or
	tubestock?)
	Seed mix
	(species/rate/
	source)
	Tubestock
	(species/density/
	source)
	Fertiliser type/rate
Other notes:	

Table A1 REHABILITATION INFORMATION TO BE RECORDED POST ESTABLISHMENT

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Monitoring Methodology The following rehabilitation monitoring methodology is based on research into rehabilitation completion criteria for rehabilitation establishment on coal mines by Nichols (2005) and Grigg, Emmerton & McCallum (2001). Provided that it is conducted by experienced and independent operators, it will reliably indicate long term rehabilitation sustainability. The following considerations were incorporated into monitoring program design.

Representative monitoring sites should be established in rehabilitation of different ages. Density of monitoring sites should be based on age and heterogeneity of rehabilitation. For new rehabilitation, or rehabilitation established within the last five years, one monitoring site per 10 ha is recommended. Although no specific density is recommended for older established rehabilitation (>5 years), sufficient density of sites should be monitored to ensure coverage of different rehabilitation types and standards. Sites locations should be selected, so that all rehabilitated landscape and vegetation types are covered (i.e. treed, pasture, slopes, flat ground). If required to meet operation-specific completion criteria, analogue sites should also be selected from adjacent non mining disturbed land for comparative monitoring purposes.

Sites should be monitored within the first 12 months after establishment then every 2 years. This should provide 3 sets of monitoring data in the first 5 years following rehabilitation.

A standard monitoring plot design is shown in Figure 2. The standard measurements to be conducted at each measurement site are outlined in the Standard Monitoring Protocol section. Any operation-specific modifications or additions to this standard protocol will be presented in the Rehabilitation Plans attached.

In addition to the measurement protocols conducted every two years, all rehabilitated areas should be inspected every year. These inspections should note problem areas (such as bare patches, failed vegetation, drainage structure failure, significant erosion or significant weed infestation) requiring maintenance or further treatment. Remedial works should then be scheduled to address these areas.

## **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Standard	Site Establishment								
monitoring protocol	Each site will consist of a 50m transect, positioned along the contour of the slope, and permanently marked using steel pickets, or similar.								
	Photo and General Rehabilitation Condition								
	☐ GPS co-ordinates will be recorded for the 0m picket.								
	$\square$ Direction of the transect (to nearest cardinal point – N, SW, etc) from the 0m picket will be noted.								
	☐ A photo will be taken from the 0m marker of the general condition of rehabilitation in the vicinity of the transect.								
	A brief description of the general condition (i.e. "rhodes dominated pasture grass with scattered shrubs and trees") and any stand-out issues (evidence of fire, bare patches, weed infestations, tree die back or erosion) will be provided.								
	☐ A sketch will be made of the transect location in relation to prominent nearby landmarks.								
	☐ Landform gradient in the vicinity of the transect will be noted.								
	Weeds								
	Note presence of declared noxious weeds in vicinity of transects, or substantial infestations of weeds that may out compete or otherwise hinder rehabilitation establishment.								
	Fauna								
	Note evidence of fauna re-colonisation in rehabilitation along transect, including insects and birds.								
	Vegetation								
	The following measurements will be recorded to assess vegetation establishment:								
	☐ Five x 1m² quadrats will be established (at the 0m, 10m, 20m, 30m & 40m marks). Groundcover estimate (%), number of groundcover species and dominant groundcover species (top 2 spp.) to be recorded for each quadrat.								
	☐ If trees or shrubs are present, one 20m x 10m plot, located 5m either side of the transect centreline will be established. Number of trees and shrubs taller than 1.2m will be recorded by species (if not known, allocate a number and record by genus – Acacia 1, Euc 2, etc). Stems/ha (total and for dominant spp/genus) should be calculated by multiplying plot results by 50.								
	☐ Evidence of recruitment (seedlings and small saplings) along transect should be noted. If possible, note species/genus and distance to nearest mature tree of that species/genus.								
	☐ Record general condition of tree health along the transect. Specifically recording evidence of senescence, drought stress, nutrient deficiencies, disease or severe insect attack. If applicable, note if specific species/genus are impacted.								

### Rehabilitation Management Plan

Standard	Nutrient Recycling
monitoring	Record the percent coverage and average depth of litter layer in each quadrat.
protocol	☐ Note the degree of litter layer composition.
	♦ 0 = Nil: Litter lying loose on surface with little indication of decomposition or incorporation;
	♦ 1 = Minor: Litter broken down into smaller fragments in contact with soil surface or slightly incorporated.
	♦ 2 = Moderate or greater: Litter has started to form layers, with lower layers demonstrating evidence of decomposition activity.
	Record the presence of cryptograms (algae, fungi, mosses, lichens, etc):
	$\bullet$ 0 = Nil evidence;
	$\bullet \qquad 1 = \text{Minor: } <10\% \text{ cover.}$
	♦ 2 = Moderate or greater: >10% cover
	Soils/surface condition
	For the characterisation of soil properties, the following actions should be taken along each transect:
	Note significant soil surface characteristics likely to influence rehabilitation development, including excessive surface rockiness, surface cracking, surface precipitates (salts, gypsum, etc), surface hard setting, etc.
	In each of the 5 vegetation plots, note surface rockiness (0=nil surface rock; 1= <10% coverage and/or rocks generally < 25mm diameter; 2 = >10% rock coverage or rocks largely > 25mm diameter), surface horizon soil field texture and surface roughness (0 = surface generally smooth with little capability of impeding surface flow; 1 = some minor cracking or undulations generally <2cm; 2 = dense surface cracking or undulations generally >2cm)
	☐ Excavate a representative soil profile hole and record the surface horizon characteristics — depth, colour, structure, rootzone depth, rock content and field texture.
	Collect surface soil samples for analysis, as required to meet operation-specific soil characterisation criteria. Soil analysis requirements will be outlined in individual operation Rehabilitation Plans.
	Erosion and Stability
	The following landform attributes should be noted to monitor for evidence erosion and stability:
	Note the presence and, if possible, the cause of scalds or bare patches $> 2m^2$ along the length of the 50m transect.
	Record the location and dimension of all erosion rills >30cm wide and/or 30cm deep, where they intersect the 50m transect. Note whether the rills are active or inactive (inactive rills are usually found in areas of well established ground-cover and are filled/partially filled with sediment and/or vegetation established within the rill). The number of active rills deeper or wider than 30cm will be converted to a density per 50m for comparison with subsequent monitoring data.

☐ Note any failed water management structures (contour banks, drop structures,

sediment ponds, etc).

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### Monitoring Review

Monitoring data will be reviewed upon completion of monitoring. Remedial actions for significant anomalies detected during monitoring (i.e. failed rehabilitation, failed water management structures, significant weed infestations) will be included in environmental works planning.

Monitoring data will be compared with previous years' data, to identify long-term trends in rehabilitation development. Once three sets of data have been collected, this information will be compared to completion criteria and areas deemed suitable for sign-off will be identified. Rehabilitated areas that are not progressing towards the completion criteria will also be identified and corrective strategies devised.

#### Final Assessment

Prior to submission of a sign-off proposal, the land proposed for sign-off will be subjected to a final maintenance inspection. This inspection will cover the whole area proposed for sign-off. The outcome of the inspection will be a documented description and photographic record of the general condition of rehabilitation, highlighting any areas of potential concern. This report will be included in the submission to DII.

## FINAL REHABILITATION SIGN-OFF

Once a rehabilitated area is deemed to be potentially suitable for sign-off, a Sign-off Report will be submitted to the regulators. This report will include the following information for the proposed sign-off area:

- Survey Plan clearly showing the proposed area;
- Area size, disturbance and rehabilitation history;
- Monitoring data compared against rehabilitation aim, objectives and completion criteria;
- Final maintenance inspection findings;
- Photographs of the proposed area; and
- Analysis of rehabilitation development and sustainability.

Areas that have already been rehabilitated more than five years may display sufficient evidence of sustainability and be considered suitable for sign-off, without need for further monitoring.

#### **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

#### REFERENCE MATERIAL

Emery, K.A. (1986) Rural Land Capability Mapping, - pamphlet. NSW Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney

Grigg, A.H., Emmerton, B.R. and McCallum, N.J. (2001). The Development of Draft Completion Criteria for Ungrazed Rehabilitation Pastures after Open-cut Coal Mining in Central Queensland. Australian Coal Association Research Program Project C8038.

Nichols, O.G. (2005). Development of rehabilitation completion criteria for native ecosystem establishment on coal mines in the Hunter Valley. Australian Coal Association Research Program Project C13048.

NSW Department of Land and Water Conservation (2001). Assessing the Texture of your Soil.

NSW Department of Primary Industries – Mineral Resources Division (2006). Guidelines to the Mining, Rehabilitation and Environmental Management Process.

NSW Coal Association (1987). Coal Mine Rehabilitation – End of Gant Report. Prepared by the NSW Soil Conservation Service.

Qld Department of Resource Industries (1990). Guidelines for Rehabilitation of Central Queensland Coal Mines.

**Rehabilitation Management Plan** 

# APPENDIX C Site Monitoring Field Sheet

## **Rehabilitation Management Plan**

Site:								Da	ite: /	/	Name:				
GPS: Photo no:															
General Description (incl. slope gradient, transect direction, general veg):  Sketch of transect															
area:															
Declared Weeds:															
Fauna Notes:															
A WHILE I VOECS:															
Grounde	ovor D	lote			Q1			Q2	)	Q3		Q4		Q5	
Ground			)		ŲI			Ų2	<u>'</u>	Ų3		Q4		Ų3	
Number			•												
Top g/c 2			•												
_			(%/cm):												
	Litter cover/depth (%/cm):  Litter decomposition (0=nil/														
1=minor/2=>mod):															
Cryptogram presence (0=nil/															
1=minor/ 2=>mod):															
Tree Plots (Gen health, height range, stress indicators, recruitment, etc):															
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,															
Spp/genus         Count/plot (> 1.0m)         Stem/ha         Spp/genus         Count/plot (> 1.0m)         Stem/ha															
Total:															
Soil Surface- General surface condition (cracking, hardsetting, etc):															
Surface Plots Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5															
Surface rockiness (0 = nil/1 =															
<10%/2 = >10% coverage)															
Surface horizon field texture															
Surface roughness (0-2)  Topsoil Profile Description (at sampling point):															
Topsoil Profile Description (at sampling point):															
Sampling location: pH: EC: EAT Class:															
Erosion (General notes, failures, scalds, etc)															
Elosion (General notes, fanules, scalus, etc)															
Erosion	Erosion rills > 30cm depth or width (Number, Location (along transect), width (cm), depth (cm), A=														
active:															
	L		W	D			L		W	D		]	L	W	D
1					5	5					9				
2					(						10				
3						7					11				
4					8	3					12				

**Rehabilitation Management Plan** 

## **APPENDIX D**

## **APPROVAL CORRESPONDENCE**



Chris Knight Environmental Manager Bloomfield Collieries Pty Ltd Four Mile Creek Rd Ashtonfield, NSW, 2323

08/07/2021

Dear Mr Knight

#### Bloomfield Coal Project (PA07\_0087) Rehabilitation Management Plan

I refer to the Rehabilitation Management Plans submitted in accordance with Condition 27 of Schedule 3 of the Project Approval for the Bloomfield Coal Project (PA07\_0087).

The Department has carefully reviewed the document and is satisfied that it meets the requirements of the relevant conditions of consent.

Accordingly, the Planning Secretary has approved the Rehabilitation Management Plan (Version 10, dated May 2021). Please ensure that the approved plan is placed on the project website at the earliest convenience.

If you wish to discuss the matter further, please contact James McDonough on (02) 9585 6313.

Yours sincerely

**Director** 

**Resource Assessments** 

as nominee of the Planning Secretary