

Bloomfield Colliery Community Consultative Committee

Extraordinary meeting re Bloomfield Colliery Continuation Project

Location: Bloomfield Colliery

Time: 11.00 am

Date: 11 December 2023

ATTENDEES		
Name	Organisation	
Lisa Andrews (LA)	Independent Chairperson	
Janet Murray (JM)	Community Representative	
Michael Jacobs (MJ)	Community Representative	
Marc Hope (MH)	Cessnock City Council	
Brayden Luke (BL)	Cessnock City Council	
Brad Donoghoe (BD)	Bloomfield Colliery	
Chris Knight (CK) Bloomfield Colliery		
Damien Butler (DB)	Bloomfield Colliery (minutes)	
Geoff Moore (GM)	Bloomfield Colliery	

Apologies	
Greg Lamb (GL)	Bloomfield Colliery
Jack Dwyer (JD)	Community Representative
Adam Ovenden (AO)	Maitland City Council
Dennis Thurlow (DT)	Community Representative

Item	Issue	Action
1	Welcome & Introductions	
	The Chair opened the CCC meeting at 11:07am and welcomed	
	members to the extraordinary meeting to discuss the Bloomfield	
	Colliery Continuation Project. Zoom facilities were made	
	available, though all attendees attended face-to-face. The	
	meeting was not recorded via Zoom, instead Bloomfield offered	
	to provide individual briefings to members who could not	
	attend. Marc Hope attended on behalf of Cessnock City Council	
	and requested that Brayden Luke, Cessnock City Council	
	Ecologist join the meeting.	
2	Apologies – JD, AO, GL & DT.	
3	Declarations	
	The Chair noted that there had been no changes to members'	
	declarations and pecuniary interests. The Chair advised that she	
	is an approved Independent Chairperson with the Department of	
	Planning and	



WE CARE. WE DELIVER.

Item	Issue	Action
	Environment, appointed by the Secretary to chair this CCC and	
	engaged by Bloomfield.	
4	Business Arising	
	The previous CCC was held on 19 October with the minutes	Action: LA to follow up with
	finalised 27 October The actions from the previous meeting	GL regarding action item 1
	were noted. There was one action the Chair was to follow up	from previous meeting.
	with GL to check for completeness.	
	The Chair noted the briefing on the new CCC guidelines would	
	occur in 2024.	
_		
5	Correspondence	
	Correspondence included the meeting notice sent on 7	
6	December including the meeting agenda. Placement Continue to Project	
6	Bloomfield Colliery Continuation Project CK thanked those in attendance and began a presentation on the	
	Continuation Project. CK noted that the mine was preparing a	
	community newsletter for distribution in mid-January 2024 and	
	that it was important to brief the CCC before this.	
	that it was important to orier the eee before this.	
	CK noted an initial briefing with Maitland City Council had	
	recently occurred.	
	,	
	CK provided the background to the site. CK noted it was the	
	smallest mine in the Hunter Valley and gave an overview of the	
	existing approvals.	
	CK gave an overview of the site and the proposed modification,	
	noting the modification does not involve an increase in	
	production, additional equipment or additional infrastructure.	
	CK said it will allow mining to continue in two locations: Creek	
	Cut area and the Workshop area.	
	CK said the mining areas will be largely protected by the natural	
	topography to the west and north and that emplacement areas	
	will generally be below the natural surface. CK said the	
	modification will require a variation to the current Project Area and disturbance limit.	
	JM asked what the purpose was for mining the workshop area. CK advised that the area needs to be rehabilitated. He noted the	
	shallow underground mine workings in the area that also needed	
	to be remediated. CK gave more detail on the Creek Cut area,	
	noting it would be continued 600 metres to the North and be no	
	closer to areas than previously mined.	
	crosor to areas than previously inflied.	
	CK provided more detail on the workshop area. JM asked if the	
	buildings had to be removed. CK confirmed they would be. MJ	
	asked if it was planned to be open cut mining. CK confirmed it	
	was and would just be going down to the old underground	
	workings. CK noted a temporary diversion of Elwells Creek. MJ	



WE CARE. WE DELIVER.

Item	Issue	Action
	asked if the mine was looking to achieve the original contour for	
	the creek line. CK confirmed the mine was. MJ asked if a clay bed would be put down and CK confirmed there would be.	
	bed would be put down and ex commined there would be.	
	While observing the indicative production schedule, JM asked	
	why the mine wouldn't just mine at the approved rate and get it	
	done sooner. GM talked about reasons why including blending	
	and BD noted the need for additional machinery, which may mean more impacts.	
	mean more impacts.	
	CK gave an overview of the final landform including the	
	reduced size of the final void and flatter landform. CK said the	
	void will move further to the north but facilitate more	
	backfilling. JM asked what the flat area would become. CK spoke about the biodiversity offset study and returning the area	
	to pasture. CK talked about future land use and the need for	
	future offsets if it was not returned to pasture.	
	CV noted that the accessment is an investment of the contract	
	CK noted that the assessment requirements had been approved and outlined the key environmental considerations and early	
	consultation. CK noted that registered aboriginal parties had	
	been contacted and an advertisement has been placed seeking	
	interest from groups and individuals with cultural knowledge.	
	MJ asked if the project is a registered major project and if it will	
	go out for community feedback. CK confirmed it will go on exhibition later in the year and the Chair noted the CCC would	
	be alerted when it does go on exhibition.	
	or mental when it does go on exhibition	
	CK advised of the Social Impact Assessment approach, noting	
	Ozark was doing the cultural heritage assessment. CK said there	
	would be requests for interviews with CCC members that would come via the Chair.	
	come via the chair.	
	CK gave an overview of the project timeline.	Action: Provide GHD's SIA
	MJ asked about the scope of the work GHD would conduct and	scope
	asked if the scope could be provided. CK noted this.	
	CK advised of the upcoming newsletter. The Chair asked it to	Action: CK to add CCC
	include information on how community members can nominate	information to the project
	to join the CCC.	newsletter.
	RI noted his experience working on the site with his provious	
	BL noted his experience working on the site with his previous employers including Umwelt and GHD. He queried the	
	lodgment under the assumption of minimal environmental	
	impact. CK advised how this was assessed. MJ said it was	
	important not to do what the Kurri Kurri pipeline did which he	
	said was to follow a different path than what was outlined in the	
	EIS. CK said a BDAR would be completed and the biodiversity assessment began early this year and included putting out 600	
	roof tiles for the legless lizard assessment, none of which had	



WE CARE. WE DELIVER.

Item	Issue	Action
	been found at this stage.	
	CK gave an overview of flora and fauna assessments.	
	JM asked about the plan for biodiversity offsets. CK talked	
	through the current planning including the current sites and a	
	site being established at Maison Dieu. JM said the community	
	would rather see offset areas being dedicated on the site and CK	
	said that was one of the options. MJ said Maitland has one of	
	the lowest biodiversity offset areas in NSW at only 14%. MJ	
	said the site was one of the largest biodiversity options in	
	Maitland. BL said the site was one of the premier biodiversity	
	areas in the local area. BL said he believed the mine was	
	impacting an ecological stronghold and that this may be further	
	devalued. BL noted that these were his personal views and not	
	the views of the Council, and stated that he believed it would be	
	an uphill battle for the mine to justify minimal environmental impact. CK said that an assessment of serious and irreversible	
	impacts (SAII) would be undertaken as part of the Biodiversity	
	assessment and justification would be provided in the EIS and	
	the BDAR.	
	MJ asked if the larger surface area would mean more water	
	being pumped out leading to more water contamination. CK	
	talked through the current discharge license. MJ asked about	
	how often water sampling occurs at Four Mile. CK said it	
	occurs monthly and daily during any discharge. MJ asked if this information was on the website and CK confirmed that it was.	
	MJ said he often sees kids playing in the creek. CK noted the	
	continual monitoring that occurs and that TSS, pH and other	
	items are measured when discharging. BD said the catchment	
	size would not increase.	
	MJ asked if the operating times would be similar. BD said they	
	would be. MJ said light pollution was bad as viewed from	
	Hunter Valley Grammar School. CK noted the community line	
	that could be called to quickly rectify the issue and not to wait	
	until CCC meetings. BD said he would investigate.	
	JM reiterated her comments from the previous CCC including	
	that she believes we are in a climate crisis, coal mining should	
	not be extended and that the carbon budget has already been	
	destroyed.	
7	General Business	
	JM wanted it on the record that she believes that there should be	
	no extension of mining and does not support the project.	
	There was no other general business.	



8	Next Meeting	
	The Chair noted the quarter 1 meeting was set for 19 February	
	2024 at 11am. CK noted there would be an extraordinary	
	meeting before submitting the EIS.	
	MJ asked for the key dates and CK said they were in the	
	presentation that would be provided.	
	CK noted some of the continuing biodiversity studies being	
	conducted in January 2024. MJ said it would be good to	
	understand what's here.	Postcript: Chair received
		email following the CCC from
	JM asked for the next meeting to start at 10am. Agreed.	Adam Ovenden, Maitland
		Council's Coordinator City
	The Chair thanked attendees for attending the extraordinary	Planning, apologising for not
	meeting. MJ asked for the minutes to be distributed before	having a representative in
	Christmas and also noted his disappointment that Maitland City	attendance. CK will contact
	Council was not in attendance. The Chair noted they had been	AO to provide a separate
	briefed.	briefing.
9	Meeting Close:	
	The meeting closed at 11:44am with LA thanking all for	
	their attendance and wishing members a happy festive	
	season.	

ACTION ITEMS:

ITEM	ACTION	RESPONSIBILITY
1	LA to follow up with GL regarding actions from the previous meeting.	LA/GL
	(Provide link to Historic Heritage Conservation Management Plan.)	
2	Add CCC information to the project newsletter	CK
3	Provide GHD's SIA scope	CK

Acronyms referred to in minutes:

CCC	Community Consultative Committee
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement