# **Rix's Creek Coal Mine**

Environmental Noise Monitoring January 2018

Prepared for Rix's Creek Pty Limited



Noise and Vibration Analysis and Solutions

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734

## **Rix's Creek Coal Mine**

Environmental Noise Monitoring January 2018

Reference: 18031\_R01 Report date: 2 May 2018

**Prepared for** 

Rix's Creek Pty Limited PO Box 4 East Maitland NSW 2323

### Prepared by

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd PO Box 3115 Thornton NSW 2322

12

eff flue

Prepared:

Amanda Borserio Acoustic Consultant

QA Review: Robert Kirwan Acoustic Consultant

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd ~ Environmental noise modelling and impact assessment ~ Sound power testing ~ Noise control advice ~ Noise and vibration monitoring ~ OHS noise monitoring and advice ~ Expert evidence in Land and Environment and Compensation Courts ~ Architectural acoustics ~ Blasting assessments and monitoring ~ Noise management plans (NMP) ~ Sound level meter and noise logger sales and hire

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Global Acoustics was engaged by Rix's Creek Mine to conduct a noise survey around their operations, situated less than 10 kilometres north-west of Singleton, NSW. The mine comprises the original Rix's Creek Mine (RCM), now known as Rix's Creek South (RCS), and the former Integra Open Cut Project Mine, now known as Rix's Creek North (RCN).

Attended environmental noise monitoring described in this report was undertaken during the night period of 18/19 January 2018. The duration of each measurement was 15 minutes.

The purpose of the survey was to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around both operations and compare results with noise criteria outlined in the Rix's Creek Noise Management Plan (NMP).

### **Operational Noise Assessment**

Noise levels from RCM complied with relevant criteria at all monitoring locations during the January 2018 monitoring survey.

Wind speed and/or calculated temperature inversion conditions may result in development consent criteria not always being applicable.

#### Low Frequency Noise Assessment

A low frequency noise assessment was carried out in accordance with the EPA's Noise Policy for Industry. Low frequency modifying factors, where applicable, did not result in any exceedances of RCM noise limits during the January survey.

**Global Acoustics Pty Ltd** 

## Table of Contents

1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Attended Noise Monitoring Locations	1
1.3 Terminology and Abbreviations	3
2 PROJECT CONSENT AND CRITERIA	4
2.1 Project Specific Criteria	4
2.2 Meteorological Conditions	4
2.3 Modifying Factors	5
2.3.1 Tonality and Intermittent Noise	5
2.3.2 Low Frequency Noise	5
3 METHODOLOGY	7
3.1 Overview	7
3.2 Attended Noise Monitoring	8
3.3 Meteorological Data	8
3.4 Modifying Factors	9
3.5 Attended Noise Monitoring Equipment	10
4 RESULTS	11
4.1 Overall Noise Levels	11
4.2 Rix's Creek North	12
4.3 Rix's Creek South	14
4.4 Low Frequency Noise Assessment	15
4.5 Measured Atmospheric Conditions	16
5 DISCUSSION	17
5.1 Noted Noise Sources	17
5.1.1 NM01 – 18 January 2018	19
5.1.2 NM02 – 18 January 2018	20
5.1.3 NM03 – 18 January 2018	21
5.1.4 NM04 – 18 January 2018	22

5.1.5 NM05 – 18 January 2018	
5.1.6 NM06 – 18 January 2018	24
5.1.7 NM08 – 18 January 2018	25
6 SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE	26
6.1 Operational Noise Assessment	
6.2 Low Frequency Noise Assessment	

## **Appendices**

A	NOISE MANAGEMENT PLAN	.27
B	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	.36

#### **INTRODUCTION** 1

#### Background 1.1

Global Acoustics was engaged by Rix's Creek Mine to conduct a noise survey around their operations, situated less than 10 kilometres north-west of Singleton, NSW. The mine comprises the original Rix's Creek Mine (RCM), now known as Rix's Creek South (RCS), and the former Integra Open Cut Project Mine, now known as Rix's Creek North (RCN).

The purpose of the survey was to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around both operations and compare results with noise criteria outlined in the Rix's Creek Noise Management Plan (NMP).

Environmental noise monitoring described in this report was undertaken during the night of 18/19 January 2018.

#### 1.2 Attended Noise Monitoring Locations

Table 1.1: ATTENDED NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS

In accordance with the NMP, there are a total of ten monitoring locations as detailed in Table 1.1 and shown on Figure 1. It should be noted that this figure shows the actual monitoring position, not the location of residences. Monitoring is not always undertaken at all locations during each month. Further explanation is provided in Section 3.2 of this report.

### Location **FA Reference Owner or Area**

Location Descriptor ID	EA Reference (RCN/RCS) <sup>1</sup>	Owner or Area	Monitoring Location
NM1	132/171	Bowman	End of Glennie Street, Camberwell
NM2	91/NA	Olofsson	Glennies Creek Road, Camberwell
NM3	47/NA	Cherry	893 Middle Falbrook Road, Middle Falbrook
NM4	19/12	Andrews	997 Bridgman Road, Bridgman
NM5	11/8	Ferraro	788 Bridgman Road, Obanvale
NM6	145/19	Murray	427 Bridgman Road, Obanvale
NM7	NA/61	Gardiner Circuit	McMahon Way, Singleton Heights
NM8	NA/152	Belmadar Way	Cnr Belmadar Way and Maison Dieu Road, Maison Dieu
NM9	NA/121	Llanrian Drive	Llanrian Drive, Gowrie
NM10 <sup>2</sup>	NA/135	Long Point	End of Dights Crossing Road, Maison Dieu

#### Notes:

1. NA indicates location was not included in the EA for that project; and

An offset correction has been applied to this measurement as the actual monitoring location is closer to RCM than the area it represents. 2.




Figure 1: RCM Attended Noise Monitoring Locations

### 1.3 Terminology and Abbreviations

Some definitions of terms and abbreviations, which may be used in this report, are provided in Table 1.2.

### Table 1.2: TERMINOLOGY AND ABBREVIATIONS

Descriptor	Definition
LA	The A-weighted root mean squared (RMS) noise level at any instant
L <sub>Amax</sub>	The maximum A-weighted noise level over a time period or for an event
L <sub>A1</sub>	The noise level which is exceeded for 1 per cent of the time
L <sub>A10</sub>	The noise level which is exceeded for 10 percent of the time, which is approximately the average of the maximum noise levels
L <sub>A50</sub>	The noise level which is exceeded for 50 per cent of the time
L <sub>A90</sub>	The level exceeded for 90 percent of the time, which is approximately the average of the minimum noise levels. The $L_{A90}$ level is often referred to as the "background" noise
	level and is commonly used to determine noise criteria for assessment purposes.
L <sub>Amin</sub>	The minimum A-weighted noise level over a time period or for an event
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	The average noise energy during a measurement period
dB(A)	Noise level measurement units are decibels (dB). The "A" weighting scale is used to describe human response to noise
SPL	Sound pressure level (SPL), fluctuations in pressure measured as 10 times a logarithmic scale, the reference pressure being 20 micropascals
Hertz (Hz)	Cycles per second, the frequency of fluctuations in pressure, sound is usually a combination of many frequencies together
VTG	Vertical temperature gradient in degrees Celsius per 100 metres altitude
IA	Inaudible. When site only noise is noted as IA, there was no noise from the source of interest audible at the monitoring location
NM	Not Measurable. If site only noise is noted as NM, this means some noise from the source of interest was audible at low-levels, but could not be quantified
Day	This is the period 7:00am to 6:00pm
Evening	This is the period 6:00pm to 10:00pm
Night	This is the period 10:00pm to 7:00am

## 2 PROJECT CONSENT AND CRITERIA

### 2.1 Project Specific Criteria

Compliance criteria are detailed in Table 2.1 and sourced from Rix's Creek NMP, approved on 19 December 2017. L<sub>Aeq,15minute</sub> criteria are applicable for the day (0700 to 1800), evening (1800 to 2200) and night (2200 to 0700) periods. L<sub>A1,1minute</sub> criteria are applicable for the night period only.

As stated in the Rix's Creek NMP, attended monitoring is to be undertaken during the night period only, with monitoring to commence at 9pm and results compared to all criteria.

#### Table 2.1: RIX'S CREEK IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA, dB

	Rix's Creek N	orth (RCN) <sup>1,3</sup>	<b>Rix's Creek South (RCS)</b> <sup>1,3</sup>			
Location Descriptor ID	LAeq,15minute	L <sub>A1,1</sub> minute	L <sub>Aeq</sub> ,15minute	LA1,1minute		
NM1	38	48	40	48		
NM2	40	47	40	47 <sup>2</sup>		
NM3	39	45	NA	NA		
NM4	37	49	42	48		
NM5	41	47	42	48		
NM6	36	48	42	47		
NM7	NA	NA	40	45		
NM8	NA	NA	40	47		
NM9	NA	NA	40	47		
NM10	NA	NA	40	47		

Notes:

1. Criteria applicable for the night period only (10:00pm to 7:00am), however, as stated in the Rix's Creek NMP, attended monitoring undertaken during the night will commence at 9:00pm;

2. Criterion set as for Rix's Creek North in absence of data in EIS; and

3. NA indicates criteria not applicable at that location, as it was not included in the relevant EA, EIS, or Project Approval.

### 2.2 Meteorological Conditions

The RCM NMP and Environment Protection License (EPL 3391) outlines required meteorological conditions for criteria to be applicable during attended noise monitoring:

L3.5 The noise emission limits identified in this licence apply under all meteorological conditions of:
a) Wind speeds up to 3m/s at 10 metres above the ground level; or
b) Temperature inversion conditions of up to 3oC/100m and wind speed up to 2m/s at 10 metres above the ground.

### 2.3 Modifying Factors

The EPA 'Noise Policy for Industry' (NPfI, 2017) was approved for use in NSW in October 2017, and supersedes the EPA's Industrial Noise Policy (INP, 2000). Assessment and reporting of modifying factors is to be carried out in accordance with Fact Sheet C of the NPfI.

NPfI modifying factors, as they are applicable to mining noise, are described in more detail below.

### 2.3.1 Tonality and Intermittent Noise

As defined in the NPfI:

Tonal noise contains a prominent frequency and is characterised by a definite pitch.

Intermittent noise is noise where the level suddenly drops/increases several times during the assessment period, with a noticeable change in source noise level of at least 5 dB(A); for example, equipment cycling on and off. The intermittency correction is not intended to be applied to changes in noise level due to meteorology.

There were no intermittent noise sources from site during the survey. In addition, there is no equipment on site that is likely to generate tonal noise as defined in the NPfI.

### 2.3.2 Low Frequency Noise

As defined in the NPfI:

Low frequency noise is noise with an unbalanced spectrum and containing major components within the low-frequency range (10 - 160 Hz) of the frequency spectrum.

The NPfI contains the current method of assessing low frequency noise, which is a 2 step process as detailed below:

*Measure/assess source contribution C-weighted and A-weighted*  $L_{eq}$ *T levels over the same time period. The low frequency noise modifying factor correction is to be applied where the C-A level is 15 dB or more and:* 

• where any of the 1/3 octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by **up to and including** 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2 dBA positive adjustment to measured A weighted levels applies for the evening/night period; and

• where any of the 1/3 octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by **more than** 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5 dBA positive adjustment to measured A weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2 dBA positive adjustment applies for the daytime period.

Table C2 and associated notes from the NPfI is reproduced below:

Hz/dB(Z)	One-third octave L <sub>Zeq,15min</sub> threshold level												
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

#### Table C2: One-third octave low-frequency noise thresholds.

Notes:

dB(Z) = decibel (Z frequency weighted).

 For the assessment of low-frequency noise, care should be taken to select a wind screen that can protect the microphone from wind-induced noise characteristics at least 10 dB below the threshold values in Table C2 for

wind speeds up to 5 metres per second. It is likely that high performance larger diameter wind screens (nominally 175 mm) will be required to achieve this performance (Hessler, 2008). In any case, the performance of the wind screen and wind speeds at which data will be excluded needs to be stated.

- Low-frequency noise corrections only apply under the standard and/or noise-enhancing meteorological conditions.
- Where a receiver location has had architectural acoustic treatment applied (including alternative means of mechanical ventilation satisfying the Building Code of Australia) by a proponent, as part of consent requirements or as a private negotiated agreement, alternative external low-frequency noise assessment criteria may be proposed to account for the higher transmission loss of the building façade.
- Measurements should be made between 1.2 and 1.5 metres above ground level unless otherwise approved through a planning instrument (consent/approval) or environment protection licence, and at locations nominated in the development consent or licence.

## 3 METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Overview

Noise monitoring was conducted at the monitoring locations in accordance with the EPA NPfI and Australian Standard AS 1055 'Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise'.

Attended monitoring is preferred to the use of noise loggers when determining compliance with prescribed limits; it allows an accurate determination of the contribution, if any, to measured noise levels by the source of interest, RCM.

If the exact contribution of the source of interest cannot be established, due to masking by other noise sources in a similar frequency range, but site noise levels are observed to be well below (more than 5 dB lower than) any relevant criterion, a maximum estimate of the potential contribution of the site might be made based on other measured site-only noise levels, for example,  $L_{A10}$ ,  $L_{A50}$  or  $L_{A90}$ . This is generally expressed as a 'less than' quantity, such as <20 dB or <30 dB.

The terms 'Inaudible' (IA) or 'Not Measurable' (NM) may also be used in this report. When site noise is noted as IA, no site noise was audible at the monitoring location. When site noise is noted as NM, this means some noise was audible but could not be quantified. If site noise was NM due to masking but estimated to be significant in relation to a relevant criterion, we would employ methods as per section 7.1 of the NPfI (e.g. measuring at an intermediate location and using relevant calculation) to determine a value for reporting.

All sites noted as NM in this report are due to one or more of the following reasons:

- site noise levels were extremely low and unlikely, in many cases, to be even noticed;
- site noise levels were masked by another relatively loud noise source that is characteristic of the environment (e.g. breeze in foliage or continuous road traffic noise) that cannot be eliminated by moving closer; and/or
- it was not feasible or reasonable to employ NPfI methods such as using an intermediate location. Cases may include, but are not limited to, rough terrain preventing closer measurement, addition/removal of significant source to receiver shielding caused by moving closer, and meteorological conditions where back calculation may not be accurate.

A measurement of  $L_{A1,1minute}$  corresponds to the highest noise level generated for 0.6 second during one minute. In practical terms this is the highest noise level, or  $L_{Amax}$ , received from the site during the entire measurement period (i.e. the highest level of the worst minute during the 15-minute measurement).

### 3.2 Attended Noise Monitoring

Due to the number and distance between monitoring locations in the NMP, it is not possible to determine compliance at each individual residence. As a result a risk-based assessment has been adopted where attended noise monitoring targets locations where operational noise from RCM is likely to be highest. Residences surrounding RCM have been grouped generally according to the locality and local acoustic environment. These groups are referenced in the relevant EAs as Noise Assessment Groups (NAG).

Compliance monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the following procedure outlined in the NMP:

Compliance monitoring is to be conducted at locations indicated as being in the zone of meteorological enhancement by the predictive noise model. The procedure for determining which locations to monitor is as follows:

- The acoustic consultant undertaking the monitoring will access the predictive model website for the site for the upcoming night shift. The model results will indicate graphically the predicted zone of meteorological enhancement;
- 2. A monitoring plan will be developed by the consultant for the upcoming night period. Locations are to include:
  - a. If a clear zone of meteorological enhancement is indicated, one location in the opposite direction to the zone of predicted enhancement, and, all locations located within the predicted zone of enhancement; and
  - b. If relatively neutral conditions are predicted with no clear zone of meteorological enhancement, the eight locations nearest the mine will be monitored. NM01, NM03 and NM10 would be excluded, as non-compliance at those locations in the absence of meteorological enhancement is unlikely due to distance from the Mine.
- 3. A minimum of six locations are to be monitored per night.

Once monitoring commences, the consultant will apply best judgment to either proceed with the original monitoring plan, or a modified plan if monitoring results justify a change.

Other relevant sections of the NMP regarding attended noise monitoring are provided in Appendix A.

### 3.3 Meteorological Data

One on-site Automatic Weather Station (AWS) is currently located within each of the RCS and RCN mining lease areas. Each complies with AS2923-1987 'Ambient Air – Guide for measurement of horizontal wind for air quality applications' and the NPfI. These automatic weather stations provide representative weather data for RCM including wind speed and direction, sigma theta, solar radiation, humidity, rainfall and temperature.

Weather data is used to determine the validity of noise monitoring results in accordance with the NPfI. Wind speed and rain data is used for this purpose. Extreme temperature inversions is considered G-class inversions, as determined by use of sigma theta and wind speed to categorise inversion strength, in accordance with the NPfI. For the purpose of determining valid meteorological conditions for which noise criteria apply:

- The Rix's Creek South AWS will be used for assessment of Rix's Creek South; and
- The Rix's Creek North AWS will be used for assessment of Rix's Creek North.

Due to technical difficulties with the RCM North met station, sigma theta data was not available for the survey period. It has been assumed that VTG conditions resulted in criteria applying where wind speed applied.

### 3.4 Modifying Factors

Years of monitoring have indicated that noise levels from mining operations, particularly those measured at significant distances from the source are relatively continuous and broad spectrum. Given this, noise levels from RCM at the monitoring locations are unlikely to be intermittent or tonal.

Assessment of low-frequency modifying factors is necessary when application of the maximum correction could potentially result in an exceedance of the relevant site-only  $L_{Aeq}$  criterion. Low-frequency analysis is therefore undertaken for measurements in this report where:

- meteorological conditions resulted in criteria being applicable;
- contributions from RCM were audible and directly measurable, such that the site-only L<sub>Aeq</sub> was not "NM" or less than a maximum cut off value (e.g. "<20 dB" or "<30dB");</li>
- contributions from RCM were within 5 dB of the relevant L<sub>Aeq</sub> criterion, as 5 dB is the maximum penalty that can be applied by low-frequency modifying factors; and
- RCM was the dominant low-frequency noise source.

All measurements meeting these conditions were evaluated for possible low frequency penalty applicability in accordance with the NPfI.

### 3.5 Attended Noise Monitoring Equipment

The equipment detailed in Table 3.1 was used to measure environmental noise levels. Calibration certificates are provided in Appendix B.

### Table 3.1: ATTENDED NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Model	Serial Number	Calibration Due Date
Rion NA-28 sound level analyser	701424	05/06/2019
Rion NA-28 sound level analyser	370304	16/11/2018
Pulsar 106 acoustic calibrator	74813	05/06/2019
Rion NC-73 acoustic calibrator	11248300	10/10/2019

## 4 RESULTS

On the night environmental monitoring was conducted, enhancement was predicted to the North and North-West. As a result, check monitoring was conducted at NM08 to the South, followed by monitoring at NM06, NM05, NM04, NM03, NM02 and NM01 (moving from the East through to the North and to the West).

### 4.1 Overall Noise Levels

Overall noise levels measured at each location during attended measurement are provided in Table 4.1. Discussion as to the noise sources responsible for these measured levels is provided in Chapter 5 of this report.

### Table 4.1: MEASURED NOISE LEVELS – JANUARY 2018<sup>1</sup>

Location	Start Date and Time <sup>2</sup>	L <sub>Amax</sub> dB	L <sub>A1</sub> dB	L <sub>A10</sub> dB	L <sub>A50</sub> dB	L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB	L <sub>A90</sub> dB	L <sub>Amin</sub> dB	L <sub>Ceq</sub> dB
NM01	18/01/2018 21:39	50	44	42	40	40	38	35	59
NM02	18/01/2018 21:02	54	48	44	39	41	36	33	55
NM03	18/01/2018 22:34	75	58	39	33	47	32	30	53
NM04	18/01/2018 22:11	76	56	44	29	47	25	22	53
NM05	18/01/2018 21:48	62	57	48	38	45	34	31	53
NM06	18/01/2018 21:27	65	53	48	38	44	33	30	53
NM08	18/01/2018 21:00	54	49	43	38	40	35	33	57

Notes:

1. Levels in this table are not necessarily the result of activity at RCM; and

2. All measurements are 15 minutes duration.

### 4.2 Rix's Creek North

Noise levels generated by activity at RCN are shown in Table 4.2 and Table 4.3. Table 4.2 compares measured levels with  $L_{Aeq,15minute}$  impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits. Discussion as to the noise sources responsible for these measured levels is provided in Section 5 of this report.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m <sup>1</sup>	L <sub>Aeq,15</sub> min Criterion dB <sup>6</sup>		RCN L <sub>Aeq</sub> ,15min dB <sup>3,4,5</sup>	Exceedance 6
NM01	18/01/2018 21:39	3.6	148	_8	38	No	IA	NA
NM02	18/01/2018 21:02	5.3	127	_8	40	No	30	NA
NM03	18/01/2018 22:34	3.3	151	_8	39	No	33	NA
NM04	18/01/2018 22:11	3.7	146	_8	37	No	IA	NA
NM05	18/01/2018 21:48	3.6	145	_8	41	No	IA	NA
NM06	18/01/2018 21:27	2.6	150	_8	36	No	IA	NA
NM08	18/01/2018 21:00	5.3	127	_8	NA	NA	IA	NA

### Table 4.2: LAea,15minute GENERATED BY RCN AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – JANUARY 2018

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures outlined in the NPfI;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3°C/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

3. These are results for RCN in the absence of all other noise sources;

4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;

5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable);

6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L<sub>Aeq,15minute</sub> criterion column means criterion not specified for this location;

7. An offset correction of -1.3dB has been applied to the measurement at NM09 where audible and measurable; and

8. Due to technical difficulties with the RCM North met station, sigma theta data was not available for the survey period. It has been assumed that VTG conditions resulted in criteria applying where wind speed applied.

Table 4.3 compares measured levels with RCN L<sub>A1,1minute</sub> impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m <sup>1</sup>	L <sub>A1,1</sub> min Criterion dB <sup>6</sup>	Criterion Applies? <sup>2,6</sup>	RCN LA1,1min dB <sup>3,4,5</sup>	Exceedance 6
NM01	18/01/2018 21:39	3.6	148	_8	48	No	IA	NA
NM02	18/01/2018 21:02	5.3	127	_8	47	No	33	NA
NM03	18/01/2018 22:34	3.3	151	_8	45	No	35	NA
NM04	18/01/2018 22:11	3.7	146	_8	49	No	IA	NA
NM05	18/01/2018 21:48	3.6	145	_8	47	No	IA	NA
NM06	18/01/2018 21:27	2.6	150	_8	48	No	IA	NA
NM08	18/01/2018 21:00	5.3	127	_8	NA	NA	IA	NA

#### Table 4.3: LA1.1minute GENERATED BY RCN AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – JANUARY 2018

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures detailed in the NPfl;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3°C/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

- 3. These are results for RCN in the absence of all other noise sources;
- 4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;
- 5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable);
- 6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L<sub>Aeq,15minute</sub> criterion column means criterion not specified for this location;
- 7. An offset correction of -1.3dB has been applied to the measurement at NM09 where audible and measurable; and
- 8. Due to technical difficulties with the RCM North met station, sigma theta data was not available for the survey period. It has been assumed that VTG conditions resulted in criteria applying where wind speed applied.

### 4.3 Rix's Creek South

Noise levels generated by activity at RCS are shown in Table 4.4 and Table 4.5. Table 4.4 compares measured levels with  $L_{Aeq,15minute}$  impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits. Discussion as to the noise sources responsible for these measured levels is provided in Section 5 of this report.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m <sup>1</sup>	L <sub>Aeq,15</sub> min Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? <sup>2,6</sup>	RCS LAeq,15min dB <sup>3,4,5</sup>	Exceedance 6
NM01	18/01/2018 21:39	5.0	134	-1.0	40	No	IA	NA
NM02	18/01/2018 21:02	5.5	135	-1.0	40	No	IA	NA
NM03	18/01/2018 22:34	5.5	131	-1.0	NA	NA	IA	NA
NM04	18/01/2018 22:11	5.0	131	0.5	42	No	IA	NA
NM05	18/01/2018 21:48	5.3	135	-1.0	42	No	IA	NA
NM06	18/01/2018 21:27	5.3	132	-1.0	42	No	IA	NA
NM08	18/01/2018 21:00	5.5	135	-1.0	40	No	28	NA

### Table 4.4: LAea.15minute GENERATED BY RCS AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – JANUARY 2018

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures detailed in the NPfI;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3<sup>a</sup>C/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

3. These are results for RCS in the absence of all other noise sources;

4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;

5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable);

6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L<sub>Aeq,15minute</sub> criterion column means criterion not specified for this location; and

7. An offset correction of -1.3dB has been applied to the measurement at NM09 where audible and measurable.

Table 4.5 compares measured levels with RCS  $L_{A1,1minute}$  impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m <sup>1</sup>	L <sub>A1,1</sub> min Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? <sup>2,6</sup>	RCS LA1,1min dB <sup>3,4,5</sup>	Exceedance 6
NM01	18/01/2018 21:39	5.0	134	-1.0	48	No	IA	NA
NM02	18/01/2018 21:02	5.5	135	-1.0	47	No	IA	NA
NM03	18/01/2018 22:34	5.5	131	-1.0	NA	NA	IA	NA
NM04	18/01/2018 22:11	5.0	131	0.5	48	No	IA	NA
NM05	18/01/2018 21:48	5.3	135	-1.0	48	No	IA	NA
NM06	18/01/2018 21:27	5.3	132	-1.0	47	No	IA	NA
NM08	18/01/2018 21:00	5.5	135	-1.0	47	No	36	NA

### Table 4.5: LA1.1minute GENERATED BY RCS AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – JANUARY 2018

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures detailed in the NPfl;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3°C/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

- 3. These are results for RCS in the absence of all other noise sources;
- 4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;
- 5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable); and
- 6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L<sub>Aeq,15minute</sub> criterion column means criterion not specified for this location; and
- 7. An offset correction of -1.3dB has been applied to the measurement at NM09 where audible and measurable.

### 4.4 Low Frequency Noise Assessment

Measured RCM only levels were assessed for the applicability of low frequency modifying factors in accordance with the EPA's NPfI.

None of the measurements satisfied the conditions outlined in Section 3.4. Therefore no further assessment was undertaken.

### 4.5 Measured Atmospheric Conditions

Atmospheric condition data measured by the operator at each location using a Kestrel hand-held weather meter is shown in Table 4.6. Atmospheric condition data is routinely recorded during each measurement to show conditions during the monitoring period. The wind speed, direction and temperature were measured at 1.8 metres.

Location	Start Date and Time	Temperature degrees C	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction Degrees	Cloud Cover 1/8s
NM01	18/01/2018 21:39	23	2.1	180	0
NM02	18/01/2018 21:02	24	0.7	150	0
NM03	18/01/2018 22:34	22	0.5	140	0
NM04	18/01/2018 22:11	22	0.7	110	0
NM05	18/01/2018 21:48	23	0.4	110	0
NM06	18/01/2018 21:27	23	0.5	140	0
NM08	18/01/2018 21:00	22	0.9	140	0

### Table 4.6: MEASURED ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS – JANUARY 2018<sup>1,2</sup>

Notes:

1. Wind speed and direction measured at 1.8 metres; and

2. "-" indicates calm conditions.

Weather station data from RCN and RCS is used to determine compliance with specified noise criteria.

## 5 DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Noted Noise Sources

Table 4.1 to Table 4.5 present data gathered during attended monitoring. These noise levels are the result of many sounds reaching the sound level meter microphone during monitoring. Received levels from various noise sources were noted during attended monitoring and particular attention was paid to the extent of RCM's contribution, if any, to measured levels. At each receptor location, RCM's  $L_{Aeq,15minute}$  and  $L_{A1,1minute}$  (in the absence of any other noise) was, where possible, measured directly, or, determined by frequency analysis. Time variations of noise sources in each measurement, their temporal characteristics, are taken into account via statistical descriptors.

Other mines that may be audible at times are Ravensworth Complex, Hunter Valley Operations (HVO), Mount Thorley Warkworth (MTW), Ashton Coal and Wambo Coal mine (WCM).

From these observations summaries have been derived for each location in the following sections. Statistical 1/3 octave band analysis of environmental noise was undertaken, and the charts following in this section display the frequency ranges for various noise sources at each location for  $L_{A1}$ ,  $L_{A10}$ ,  $L_{A90}$ , and  $L_{Aeq}$ . These figures also provide, graphically, statistical information for these noise levels.

An example is provided as Figure 2 where it can be seen that frogs and insects are generating noise at frequencies above 1000 Hz; mining noise is at frequencies less than 1000 Hz (this is typical). Adding levels at frequencies that relate to mining only allows separate statistical results to be calculated. This analysis cannot always be performed if there are significant levels of other noise at the same frequencies as mining; this can be dogs, cows, or, most commonly, road traffic.

It should be noted that the method of summing statistical values up to a cut-off frequency can overstate the  $L_{A1}$  result by a small margin but is entirely accurate for  $L_{Aeq}$ .





Figure 2: Sample graph (see Section 5.1 for explanation)

### 5.1.1 NM01 – 18 January 2018




#### Figure 3: Environmental Noise Levels, NM01 – End of Glennie Street, Camberwell

RCM was inaudible.

Road traffic continuum from another mining operation generated all measured levels.

Insects were also noted.

### 5.1.2 NM02 – 18 January 2018



#### Figure 4: Environmental Noise Levels, NM02 – Glennies Creek Road, Camberwell


RCN was audible throughout the measurement as a continuum and exhaust noise. These sources generated the site only  $L_{Aeq}$  of 30 dB and a surge in the continuum generated the site only  $L_{A1,1minute}$  of 33 dB.

RCS was inaudible.

Road traffic generated all measured levels.

Insects were also noted.

### 5.1.3 NM03 – 18 January 2018



#### Figure 5: Environmental Noise Levels, NM03 – 893 Middle Falbrook Road, Middle Falbrook


Continuum was audible from RCN throughout the measurement. Digger fan noise was also noted. These sources generated the site only  $L_{Aeq}$  of 33 dB. Fan noise generated the site only  $L_{A1,1minute}$  of 35 dB.

RCS was inaudible.

Dogs generated the measured  $L_{A1}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$ . A train generated the measured  $L_{A10}$ . RCN sources generated the measured  $L_{A90}$ .

Frogs and insects were also noted.

### 5.1.4 NM04 – 18 January 2018




#### Figure 6: Environmental Noise Levels, NM04 – 997 Bridgman Road, Bridgman

RCM was inaudible.

Road traffic tyre noise and dogs generated the measured  $L_{A1}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$ . Road traffic tyre noise generated the measured  $L_{A10}$ . Frogs and insects generated the measured  $L_{A90}$ .

### 5.1.5 NM05 – 18 January 2018




#### Figure 7: Environmental Noise Levels, NM05 – 788 Bridgman Road, Obanvale

RCM was inaudible.

Road traffic tyre noise generated the measured  $L_{A1}$ ,  $L_{A10}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$ . Insects and frogs primarily generated the measured  $L_{A90}$ .

Breeze was also noted.

### 5.1.6 NM06 – 18 January 2018




#### Figure 8: Environmental Noise Levels, NM06 – 427 Bridgman Road, Obanvale

RCM was inaudible.

Road traffic noise primarily generated the  $L_{A1}$ ,  $L_{A10}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$ . Dogs contributed to the measured  $L_{A1}$ ,  $L_{A10}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$ . Frogs and insects generated the measured  $L_{A90}$ .

### 5.1.7 NM08 – 18 January 2018



#### Figure 9: Environmental Noise Levels, NM08 – Cnr Belmadar Way and Maison Dieu Road, Maison Dieu

RCN was inaudible.

A continuum and engine noise from RCS were audible during most of the measurement, generating a site only  $L_{Aed}$  of 28. A rear dump truck engine surge generated the site only  $L_{A1,1minute}$  of 36 dB.

Road traffic noise and breeze generated the measured  $L_{A1}$ ,  $L_{A10}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$  and contributed to the measured  $L_{A90}$ . Birds contributed to the measured  $L_{A1}$  and  $L_{Aeq}$ . Insects and RCS also contributed to the measured  $L_{A90}$ .

## 6 SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE

Global Acoustics were engaged by Rix's Creek Mine to conduct a noise survey around their operations, situated north-west of the town of Singleton, NSW. The mine comprises the original Rix's Creek Mine, now known as Rix's Creek South (RCS), and the former Integra Open Cut Project Mine, now known as Rix's Creek North (RCN).

Environmental noise monitoring described in this report was undertaken during the night of 18/19 January 2018.

The purpose of the survey was to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around both operations and compare results with noise criteria outlined in the Rix's Creek Noise Management Plan (NMP).

### 6.1 Operational Noise Assessment

Noise levels from RCM complied with relevant criteria at all monitoring locations during the January 2018 monitoring survey.

Wind speed and/or calculated temperature inversion conditions may result in development consent criteria not always being applicable.

### 6.2 Low Frequency Noise Assessment

A low frequency noise assessment was carried out in accordance with the EPA's NPfI. Low frequency modifying factors, where applicable, did not result in any exceedances of RCM noise limits during the January survey.

**Global Acoustics Pty Ltd** 

# APPENDIX

## A NOISE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd | PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 | Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734

## 5. Attended Noise Compliance Monitoring

### 5.1.1 INTRODUCTION

Attended monitoring is required to assess compliance with regulatory limits. Note: As described in this document it does not address the 25% of privately owned land aspect of Schedule 3, Condition 2 of the Rixs Creek North Cut Project Approval. As recommended in the 2011 Independent Environmental Audit, the requirement to assess affectation of 25% of privately owned land should be removed as a requirement (for all criteria); it is not practical to determine and has no relevance to resident amenity.

Attended monitoring at all receptor locations will be at night only commencing from 9pm, with results compared to all criteria (day, evening and night). Atmospheric conditions and noise propagation are usually the same on the evening/night and night/day time boundaries. Note also that receptors near to, or exposed to, the New England Highway have a completely different noise environment in the day due to traffic such that mining noise is unlikely to be a problem. This is consistent with the Independent Review Of Cumulative Noise Impacts -Camberwell Village (WMPL, May 2010), which states:

The LA<sub>eq</sub> levels near the New England Highway are predominately due to road traffic and associated heavy vehicles, rather than mining or other industrial noise, and is unlikely to decrease in the future.

### 5.1.2 FREQUENCY

Attended compliance monitoring is to be undertaken one night per calendar month.

### 5.1.3 LOCATIONS

Compliance cannot be determined at each individual resident so on the monitoring night monitoring is targeted to locations where operational noise is likely to be the highest. These monitoring locations are selected by the following procedure.

Residences surrounding the Mine have been grouped generally according to the locality and local acoustic environment. These groupings are referenced in the relevant EAs as Noise Assessment Groups (NAG). Monitoring locations, including the receptor reference numbers from the relevant EAs and the NAG each represents, are listed in Table 5-6.

Compliance monitoring is to be conducted at locations indicated as being in the zone of meteorological enhancement by the predictive noise model. The procedure for determining which locations to monitor is as follows:

- The acoustic consultant undertaking the monitoring will access the predictive model website for the site for the upcoming night shift. The model results will indicate graphically the predicted zone of meteorological enhancement;
- A monitoring plan will be developed by the consultant for the upcoming night period. Locations are to include:
  - a. If a clear zone of meteorological enhancement is indicated, one location in the opposite direction to the zone of predicted enhancement, and, all locations located within the predicted zone of enhancement; and

- b. If relatively neutral conditions are predicted with no clear zone of meteorological enhancement, the eight locations nearest the mine will be monitored. NM01, NM03 and NM10 would be excluded, as non-compliance at those locations in the absence of meteorological enhancement is unlikely due to distance from the Mine.
- 3. A minimum of six locations are to be monitored per night.

Once monitoring commences, the consultant will apply best judgment to either proceed with the original monitoring plan, or a modified plan if monitoring results justify a change.

The procedure for monitoring when a clear zone of meteorological enhancement is predicted is:

- The first monitoring location will be the potentially most affected location in the opposite direction to the zone of predicted enhancement to confirm noise emission in that direction is well below compliance criteria;
- If the Mine LAeq is more than 2 dB below the relevant criterion at the first location (LAeq < criterion minus 2 dB), the consultant will proceed with the original plan and move to the locations within the predicted zone of enhancement;
- 3. If the Mine L<sub>Aeq</sub> is within 2 dB of the relevant criterion (L<sub>Aeq</sub> >= criterion minus 2 dB), the consultant will monitor at the next most potentially affected location in the same general direction from the Mine. This procedure will be repeated until the Mine L<sub>Aeq</sub> is more than 2 dB below the relevant criterion. Result acceptance procedures in Section 5.1.7 will be applied;
- 4. The consultant will then proceed with the original plan; and
- If fatigue management rules result in insufficient time to monitor all locations, the consultant will apply best judgement to determine which locations will provide the best indication of compliance with the time available.

The procedure for monitoring when no clear zone of meteorological enhancement is predicted is:

- The first monitoring location will be the potentially most affected location based on forecast and prevailing meteorological conditions;
- 2. If compliance is demonstrated, the consultant will proceed with the original plan;
- If non-compliance is measured at any location, result acceptance procedures in Section 5.1.7 will be applied. Any locations in the same general direction from the Mine that were omitted in the original plan will be included; and
- If fatigue management rules result in insufficient time to monitor all locations, the consultant will apply best judgement to determine which locations will provide the best indication of compliance with the time available.

The consultant shall maintain a fatigue management policy, which will be provided to the Mine and/or regulators on request.

		active monitoring counter	15
NMP ID	EA Ref. (ICO/RCM) <sup>1</sup>	Owner or Area	NAG <sup>2</sup>
NM01	132/171	Bowman	6 (ICO) / M (RCM)
NM02	91/NA	Olofsson	4 (ICO)
NM03	47/NA	Cherry	B, C, F, 1, 6 and 12 (ICO)
NM04	19/12	Andrews	11 and A (ICO) / A (RCM)
NM05	1 1/8	Ferraro	10 and 11 (ICO) / A (RCM)
NM06	145/19	Murray	9 (ICO) / B and C (RCM)
NM07	NA/61	Gardiner Circuit	8 (ICO) / D and E (RCM)
NM08	NA/152	Belmadar Way	NA / J, G and F (RCM)
NM09	NA/121	Lllanrian Drive	NA / H (RCM)
NM10	NA/135	Long Point	NA / K and I (RCM)

### Table 5-6 Attended Monitoring Locations

Notes: 1. NA indicates location was not included in the EA for that project; and

2. Indicates the NAG reference the location represents from the relevant EAs.

Figure 5-1 illustrates attended monitoring locations.

#### 5.1.4 METHODS

Attended monitoring is to be conducted in accordance with the 'Industrial Noise Policy' (INP) guidelines and Australian Standard AS 1055 'Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise'. The duration of each measurement is to be 15 minutes.

As indicated in L3.3, L3.4 & L3.5 of EPL 3391:

L3.3 Noise from the premises is to be measured at the most affected point within the residential boundary, or at the most affected point within 30 metres of the dwelling where the dwelling is more than 30 metres from the boundary, to determine compliance with the noise level limits in this licence unless otherwise stated.

Where it can be demonstrated that direct measurement of noise from the premises is impractical, the EPA may accept alternative means of determining compliance. See Chapter 11 of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy.

The modification factors presented in Section 4 of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy shall also be applied to the measured noise levels where applicable.

- L3.4 Noise from the premises is to be measured at 1 m from the dwelling facade to determine compliance with the LA1(1minute) noise limits in this licence.
- L3.5 The noise emission limits identified in this licence apply under all meteorological conditions of: a) Wind speeds up to 3m/s at 10 metres above the ground level; or

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd | PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 | Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734 b) Temperature inversion conditions of up to 3oC/100m and wind speed up to 2m/s at 10 metres above the ground.

In most cases, monitoring near the residence is impractical due to barking dogs or issues with obtaining access. In all cases, measurements are to be undertaken at a suitable and representative location.

Some measurement results may be inconclusive and reported as "Inaudible" (IA) or "Not Measurable" (NM). When site noise is noted as IA then there was no site noise at the monitoring location. However, if site noise is noted as NM, this means some noise was audible but could not be quantified. This means that noise from the site was either very low, or, being masked by other noise that was relatively loud. In the former case (very low site levels) it is not considered necessary to attempt to accurately quantify site NM noise as it would be significantly less than any criterion and most unlikely to cause annoyance (and in many cases, to be even noticed).

If site noise were NM due to masking then suitable methods must be employed as per the Industrial Noise Policy (e.g. measure closer and back calculate) to determine a value for assessment of compliance.

As indicated in the notes below Table 2 of the Rixs Creek North Project Approval:

Noise generated by the projects is to be measured in accordance with the relevant procedures and exemptions (including certain meteorological conditions), of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy.

The procedures and exemptions will include the assessment of modifying factors from Section 4 of the INP, where applicable. Years of monitoring have indicated that noise levels from mining operations, particularly those levels measured at significant distances from the source are relatively continuous. Given this, noise levels at the monitoring locations are unlikely to be intermittent or impulsive. However, tonality and low frequency are to be assessed by analysis of the measured LAeq and/or LCeq spectrum.

### 5.1.5 METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING

One on-site Automatic Weather Station (AWS) is currently located within each of the Rixs Creek South and Rixs Creek North mining lease areas. Each complies with AS2923-1987 Ambient Air – Guide for measurement of horizontal wind for air quality applications and the INP. These AWS provide representative weather data for the Mine including wind speed and direction, sigma theta, solar radiation, humidity, rainfall and temperature. Weather data will be used to determine the validity of noise monitoring results in accordance with the INP. Wind speed and rain data will be used for this purpose. Extreme temperature inversions will be considered G-class inversions, as determined by use of sigma theta and wind speed to categorise inversion strength, in accordance with Appendix E of the INP.

For the purpose of determining valid meteorological conditions for which noise criteria apply:

- The Rixs Creek South AWS will be used for assessment of Rixs Creek South; and
- The Rixs Creek North AWS will be used for assessment of Rix's Creek North.

### 5.1.6 DATA TO BE COLLECTED

Data shall be collected in 15 minute periods and the Mine only LAeq result recorded. Low pass filtering will be used to remove extraneous noise such as insects when applicable. Other extraneous noise may be paused from the data set or excluded by other means. Statistical data must be one-third octave.

Assessment of impact is to include consideration of mining activity and atmospheric conditions during each measurement. Wind speed and/or estimated temperature inversion conditions may result in regulatory criteria not being applicable in accordance with the INP.

The Mine only L<sub>Ceq</sub> result should be collected simultaneously. Low pass filtering will be used to remove extraneous high spectrum noise when required

A low frequency noise penalty of 5 dB is to be added to the Mine only LAeq result when noise from the mine causes:

- The Mine only C weighted reading to exceed LCeq 65 dB during the day or evening periods; or
- The Mine only C weighted reading to exceed LCeq 60 dB during the night period.

The following information must be recorded during attended noise monitoring:

- Time and date;
- Location;
- Name of person carrying out the monitoring;
- Serial number of equipment used;
- Noted sources and noise levels, direction and frequency from source of interest;
- Duration of monitoring;
- Measured noise levels including LAeq, LAmax, LAmin, LA1, LA10, LA50 and LA90, and
- Weather conditions including temperature, relative humidity, wind speed average, wind speed maximum, wind direction and estimated cloud cover.

### 5.1.7 RESULT ACCEPTANCE

A 15 minute measurement shall be taken and assessed against the applicable criterion. If the Mine only LAeq result is below the criterion, then the consultant will record it, note the site has passed and move on to the next monitoring location.

If the Mine only LAeq result exceeds the criterion, is attributable to the Mine, and taken in valid meteorological conditions, then the following steps are to be followed:

- Consultant will record the reading, advise the Mine of the criterion exceedance and proceed to Step 2. The Mine will implement remedial action as required.
- Within 75 minutes after the first measurement (and no earlier than 10pm) a second 15 minute measurement is to be made. If this second result exceeds the criterion then proceed to Step 3, otherwise proceed to Step 4.
- If the result is attributable to the Mine and taken in valid meteorological conditions then proceed to Step 5.
- The consultant will record the result, note the site has passed, schedule an additional monitoring test to be undertaken at the location within 1 week, and move on to the next monitoring location.
- 5. The consultant will record the result, note the site has failed and is deemed a 'noise affected night' at that location. An additional monitoring test should be scheduled to be undertaken at the same location within 1 week, and move on to the next monitoring location.

If the Mine only LAeq result exceeds the criterion, is attributable to the Mine, and taken in invalid meteorological conditions, the consultant will record it, advise the Mine a measurement has exceeded the criterion, and move on to the next monitoring location.

As detailed in Section 6.2.3 of this NMP, the OCE is to be advised of any potential noise exceedance detected during attended monitoring. The flow chart in Figure 6-5 details the attended monitoring exceedance procedure.

### 5.1.8 COMPLIANCE CRITERIA

Table 5-7 sets out night period noise compliance criteria. Rixs Creek North criteria are sourced from the Project Approval. Rixs Creek South LAeq.15minute intrusive noise criteria are based on proposed criteria nominated in the EIS. LA1.1minute criteria are based on sleep disturbance criteria for the relevant NAG derived in the EIS.

L<sub>Aeq.15minute</sub> criteria are applicable for the day (07:00 to 18:00), evening (18:00 to 22:00) and night (22:00 to 07:00) periods. L<sub>A1,1minute</sub> criteria are applicable for the night period only.

NMP ID	EA Ref. (ICO/RCM) <sup>1</sup>	Rix's Cre	ek North	Rixs Cre	ek South
		LAeq,15minute dB	LA1,1 minute dB	LAeq,15minute dB	LA1,1minute dB
NM01	132/171	38	48	40	48
NM02	91/NA	40	47	40	47 <sup>1</sup>
NM03	47/NA	39	45	NA	NA
NM04	19/12	37	49	42	48
NM05	11/8	41	47	42	48
NM06	145/19	36	48	42	47
NM07	NA/61	NA	NA	40	45
NM08	NA/152	NA	NA	40	47
NM09	NA/121	NA	NA	40	47
NM10	NA/135	NA	NA	40	47

Table 5-7 Compliance Criteria

Notes: 1. Criterion set as for Rixs Creek North in the absence of data in the EIS; and

 NA indicates criteria not applicable at that location, as it was not included in the relevant EA, EIS or Project Approval.

### 5.1.9 REPORTING

Attended monitoring reports should include a comparison to criteria detailed in the relevant project approval. All attended measurement result analysis should consider criteria applicability (for impact,

mitigation, cumulative and acquisition criteria) with regard to wind speed and vertical temperature gradient.

All results that exceed criteria, including instances where the second measurement indicates compliance with criteria, shall be reported to DP&E the following day along with actions taken to reduce the noise.

All monitoring that results in a night being deemed a 'noise affected night' in accordance with Section 5.1.7 shall be reported to DP&E and the affected community as per the notification requirements.

### 5.1.10 EXCEEDANCE PROCEDURE

.Procedures to be followed in the event of a measured noise exceedance are outlined in Section 6.2.3

### 6.2.3 ATTENDED COMPLIANCE MONITORING EXCEEDANCE MEASURED

Any exceedance of a noise criterion is to be acted upon immediately it is measured. The acoustic consultant undertaking attended monitoring is to contact the Mine to advice of the problem and discuss possible changes to operations that should lead to compliance. A remeasure is required to evaluate the effectiveness of any change implemented as outlined in Section 5.1.7, if the measurement was made in valid meteorological conditions. The Senior Environmental Officer and/or the Environmental Officer should also be advised of the exceedance.

Responsibility: Noise Monitoring Consultant

#### Timing: Each event

The Department of Planning & Environment (Singleton Compliance Branch) and/or the Environment Protection Authority is to be informed of any noise criterion exceedance.


Responsibility: Senior Environmental Officer or Environmental Officer

Timing: Each event

This Noise Management Plan is to be issued to any consultant conducting attended noise monitoring for the site so they understand all relevant procedures.

#### Responsibility: Environmental Officer

Timing: On commencement of contract and every time this document is updated.






# APPENDIX

## B CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd | PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 | Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734



ľ,	Sou	nd Le	el 7 Building 2 423 nant Hills NSW A 661294840800 A.B rw.acousticrese vel Meter 2-3.2006	USTRALIA 2120 .N. 65 160 399 119 arch.com.au	
			Certificate	e	
	Calibration Nun	nber C	16643		
	Client De	12/	obal Acoustics Pty Ltd (16 Huntingdale Drive ornton NSW 2322		
Equip	oment Tested/ Model Numl Instrument Serial Numl Microphone Serial Numl Pre-amplifier Serial Numl	<b>ber :</b> 003			
Ambient Te Relative	tmospheric Conditions mperature : 22.2°C e Humidity : 46.6% ic Pressure : 99.95kPa		Ambien Rela	nospheric Conditions t Temperature : 22.4 tive Humidity : 44.5 netric Pressure : 99.9	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Calibration Tech Calibration	n Date: 16/11/2016		Secondary Chec Report Issue Date	e: 17/11/2016	
Clause and Charao	Approved Signato	Result	Clause and Chara		an Aguero Result
10: Self-generated noi 11: Acoustical tests of 12: Electrical tests of	ise f a frequency weighting	Pass Pass Pass Pass	14: Level linearity on	the reference level range l. the level range control e :	Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
As public evidence wa performed in accordanc	ubmitted for testing has successfully conditions un is available, from an independent te e with IEC 61672-2:2003, to demo 002, the sound level meter submitte	der which th sting organis astrate that th	e tests were performed. ation responsible for approv e model of sound level mete	ing the results of pattern evaluer fully conformed to the requ	uation test irements in
Acoustic Tests 31.5 Hz to 8kHz 12.5kHz 16kHz	Least U ±0.12dB ±0.18dB ±0.31dB		of Measurement - ironmental Conditions Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	±0.05℃ ±0.46% ±0.017kPa	-
Electrical Tests 31.5 Hz to 20 kHz	±0.12dB All uncertainties are derived at	the 95% con	fidence level with a coverag	e factor of 2.	
	This calibration certificate is to	be read in co	njunction with the calibration	on test report.	
NATA	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltc Accredited for compliance with			er 14172.	
V	The results of the tests, calibrati Australian/national standards.	ons and/or m	neasurements included in thi	s document are traceable to	
WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION	NATA is a signatory to the ILA equivalence of testing, medical				e 1 of 2

6	Acoustic Research	Level 7 Bui Pennant Hi	lding 2 423 Pe Ils NSW AUS	nnant Hills Rd STRALIA 2120	
Q	Labs Pty Ltd	Ph: +61 2 94	84 0800 A.B.N.	65 160 399 119	
and the second second	Soun	d Calibra	ator	cn.com.au	
		C 60942-200			
	Calibrat	ion Cer	tificate		
	Calibration Numbe	r C17249			
	Client Detail		oustics Pty Ltd ingdale Drive ISW 2322		
Equipt	nent Tested/ Model Number Instrument Serial Number			A land	X
	Atmos Ambient Temperature	pheric Conditi : 24.3°C	ons		
	Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	: 38.9%			
Calibration Techn			condary Check:	Nick Williams	-
Calibration			ort Issue Date :	06/06/2017	
	Approved Signatory	: 155-40		Ker	n William
Clause and Charact 5.2.2: Generated Sound 5.2.3: Short Term Fluct	l Pressure Level	Pass 5.3.2:	se and Characte Frequency Generat otal Distortion		Resu Pass Pass
Measured Output		Il Frequency	Measured Le 93.8	evel Measured F	
	been shown to conform to the class 2 1	~	The last the second		
	e level(s) and frequency(ies) stated, for		l conditions under wh		
Specific Tests Generated SPL	±0.11dB	Environmenta Tempera		±0.05°C	
Short Term Fluct. Frequency	±0.02dB ±0.01%			±0.46% ±0.017kPa	· · ·
Distortion	±0.5% All uncertainties are derived at the	95% confidence la	val with a covarage fe	actor of 2	
	All uncertainties are derived at the	3376 conjuence le	rei wiin a coverage ja	ictor of 2.	
	This calibration certificate is to be	read in conjunction	with the calibration t	est report.	
NATA	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd is Accredited for compliance with ISO		Laboratory Number 1	4172.	
WORLD RECOGNISED	The results of the tests, calibrations Australian/national standards.	and/or measureme	nts included in this do	ocument are traceable to	
ACCREDITATION	NATA is a signatory to the ILAC M equivalence of testing, medical test			mutual recognition of the	•
				PAG	E 1 OF 1

	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd	Ph: +61 2 9484 0	800 A.B.N. 65	ALIA 2120 160 300 110
	Soun	d Calibrato		
		c 60942-2004 ion Certi	ficate	
	Calibration Number		licate	
	Client Detai		rch Labs Pty Ltd 423 Pennant Hills ISW 2120	Road
Equip	ment Tested/ Model Number Instrument Serial Number			
	Atmos Ambient Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	: 49.8%		
Calibration Tech Calibration	Date : 10/10/2017	Report		ey Cooper /10/2017
Clause and Charac	Approved Signatory	Ane	nd Characteristi	Ken William
5.2.2: Generated Soun 5.2.3: Short Term Flue	d Pressure Level		uency Generated	c Tested Result Pass Pass
Méasured Output		I Frequency M 1000.0	<b>Aeasured Level</b> 94.2	Measured Frequency 1004.10
The sound calibrator has the sound pressu Specific Tests Generated SPL Short Term Fluct. Frequency Distortion	been shown to conform to the class 2 r e level(s) and frequency(ies) stated, fo Least Unce ±0.11dB ±0.02dB ±0.01% ±0.5%	equirements for periodic t the environmental conc ttainties of Measurement Environmental Com Temperature Relative Humi Barometric Pro	litions under which th ditions $\pm 0.05$ dity $\pm 0.46$	e tests were performed °C %
	All uncertainties are derived at the	95% confidence level wi	th a coverage factor o	<i>[2.</i>
H-Slatter and the state of the	This calibration certificate is to be r	ead in conjunction with	the calibration test rep	ort.
BIATA	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd is Accredited for compliance with ISC		atory Number 14172.	
	The results of the tests, calibrations Australian/national standards.	and/or measurements inc	cluded in this docume	nt are traceable to
WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION	NATA is a signatory to the ILAC M equivalence of testing, medical testi			l recognition of the
				Page 1 of 1