Rix's Creek Coal Mine

Environmental Noise Monitoring August 2017

Prepared for Rix's Creek Pty Limited



Noise and Vibration Analysis and Solutions

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734

Rix's Creek Coal Mine

Environmental Noise Monitoring August 2017

Reference: 17308_R01 Report date: 24 August 2017

Prepared for

Rix's Creek Pty Limited PO Box 4 East Maitland NSW 2323

Prepared by

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd PO Box 3115 Thornton NSW 2322

AMMIS

Kheekes

Prepared:

Joel Curran Acoustics Consultant

Katie Weekes QA Review: Acoustics Consultant

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd ~ Environmental noise modelling and impact assessment ~ Sound power testing ~ Noise control advice ~ Noise and vibration monitoring ~ OHS noise monitoring and advice ~ Expert evidence in Land and Environment and Compensation Courts ~ Architectural acoustics ~ Blasting assessments and monitoring ~ Noise management plans (NMP) ~ Sound level meter and noise logger sales and hire

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Global Acoustics was engaged by Rix's Creek Mine to conduct a noise survey around their operations, situated less than 10 kilometres north-west of Singleton, NSW. The mine comprises the original Rix's Creek Mine (RCM), now known as Rix's Creek South (RCS), and the former Integra Open Cut Project Mine, now known as Rix's Creek North (RCN).

Attended environmental noise monitoring described in this report was undertaken at eight locations on the night period of 2/3 August 2017. The duration of each measurement was 15 minutes.

The purpose of the survey was to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around both operations and compare results with noise criteria outlined in the Rix's Creek Noise Management Plan (NMP).

Operational Noise Assessment

Noise levels from RCM complied with relevant criteria at all monitoring locations during the August 2017 monitoring survey.

Wind speed and/or calculated temperature inversion conditions resulted in development consent criteria not being applicable at several locations.

Low Frequency Assessment

During August 2017, RCM complied with the relevant limits using the Broner, INP and dING methods of assessing low frequency noise at all monitoring locations.

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd

Table of Contents

1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Attended Noise Monitoring Locations	1
1.3 Terminology and Abbreviations	
2 PROJECT CONSENT AND CRITERIA	4
2.1 Project Specific Criteria	4
2.2 Meteorological Conditions	4
2.3 Modifying Factors	5
2.3.1 Tonality, Intermittent and Impulsive Noise	5
2.4 Low Frequency Noise	5
2.4.1 Low Frequency Assessment Methods	6
3 METHODOLOGY	7
3.1 Overview	7
3.2 Attended Noise Monitoring	
3.3 Meteorological Data	
3.4 Modification Factors	9
3.5 Attended Noise Monitoring Equipment	
4 RESULTS	
4.1 Overall Noise Levels	
4.2 Rix's Creek North	
4.3 Rix's Creek South	
4.4 Low Frequency Assessment	14
4.5 Measured Atmospheric Conditions	
5 DISCUSSION	17
5.1 Noted Noise Sources	
5.1.1 NM01 – 2 August 2017	
5.1.2 NM02 – 2 August2017	20
5.1.3 NM03 – 3 August2017	21

5.1.4 NM04 – 2 August 2017	22
5.1.5 NM05 – 2 August2017	23
5.1.6 NM06 – 2 August 2017	24
5.1.7 NM08 – 2 August 2017	25
6 SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE	26
6.1 Operational Noise Assessment	
6.2 Low Frequency Assessment	26

Appendices

A NOISE MANAGEMENT PLAN	27
B CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	36

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Global Acoustics was engaged by Rix's Creek Mine to conduct a noise survey around their operations, situated less than 10 kilometres north-west of Singleton, NSW. The mine comprises the original Rix's Creek Mine (RCM), now known as Rix's Creek South (RCS), and the former Integra Open Cut Project Mine, now known as Rix's Creek North (RCN).

The purpose of the survey was to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around both operations and compare results with noise criteria outlined in the Rix's Creek Noise Management Plan (NMP).

Environmental noise monitoring described in this report was undertaken during the night of 2/3 August 2017.

1.2 Attended Noise Monitoring Locations

In accordance with the NMP, there are a total of ten monitoring locations as detailed in Table 1.1 and shown on Figure 1. It should be noted that this figure shows the actual monitoring position, not the location of residences. Monitoring is not always undertaken at all locations during each month. Further explanation is provided in Section 3.2 of this report.

Location Descriptor ID	EA Reference (RCN/RCS) ¹	Owner or Area	Monitoring Location
NM1	132/171	Bowman	End of Glennie Street, Camberwell
NM2	91/NA	Olofsson	Glennie Creek Road, Camberwell
NM3	47/NA	Cherry	893 Middle Falbrook Road, Middle Falbrook
NM4	19/12	Andrews	997 Bridgman Road, Bridgman
NM5	11/8	Ferraro	788 Bridgman Road, Obanvale
NM6	145/19	Murray	427 Bridgman Road, Obanvale
NM7	NA/61	Gardiner Circuit	McMahon Way, Singleton Heights
NM8	NA/152	Belmadar Way	Cnr Belmadar Way and Maison Dieu Road, Maison Dieu
NM9	NA/121	Llanrian Drive	Llanrian Drive, Gowrie
NM10 ²	NA/135	Long Point	End of Dights Crossing Road, Maison Dieu

Table 1.1: ATTENDED NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS

Notes:

1. NA indicates location was not included in the EA for that project; and

2. An offset correction has been applied to this measurement as the actual monitoring location is closer to RCM than the area it represents.




Figure 1: RCM Attended Noise Monitoring Locations

1.3 Terminology and Abbreviations

Some definitions of terms and abbreviations, which may be used in this report, are provided in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2: TERMINOLOGY AND ABBREVIATIONS

Descriptor	Definition
LA	The A-weighted root mean squared (RMS) noise level at any instant
L _{Amax}	The maximum A-weighted noise level over a time period or for an event
L _{A1}	The noise level which is exceeded for 1 per cent of the time
L _{A10}	The noise level which is exceeded for 10 percent of the time, which is approximately the average of the maximum noise levels
L_{A50}	The noise level which is exceeded for 50 per cent of the time
LA90	The level exceeded for 90 percent of the time, which is approximately the average of the minimum noise levels. The L_{A90} level is often referred to as the "background" noise level and is commonly used to determine noise criteria for assessment purposes.
LAmin	The minimum A-weighted noise level over a time period or for an event
L _{Aeq}	The average noise energy during a measurement period
dB(A)	Noise level measurement units are decibels (dB). The "A" weighting scale is used to describe human response to noise
SPL	Sound pressure level (SPL), fluctuations in pressure measured as 10 times a logarithmic scale, the reference pressure being 20 micropascals
Hertz (Hz)	Cycles per second, the frequency of fluctuations in pressure, sound is usually a combination of many frequencies together
VTG	Vertical temperature gradient in degrees Celsius per 100 metres altitude
IA	Inaudible. When site only noise is noted as IA, there was no noise from the source of interest audible at the monitoring location
NM	Not Measurable. If site only noise is noted as NM, this means some noise from the source of interest was audible at low-levels, but could not be quantified
Day	This is the period 7:00am to 6:00pm
Evening	This is the period 6:00pm to 10:00pm
Night	This is the period 10:00pm to 7:00am

2 PROJECT CONSENT AND CRITERIA

2.1 Project Specific Criteria

Compliance criteria are detailed in Table 2.1 and sourced from Rix's Creek NMP, approved in February 2016. LAeq,15minute criteria are applicable for the day (0700 to 1800), evening (1800 to 2200) and night (2200 to 0700) periods. LA1,1minute criteria are applicable for the night period only.

As stated in the Rix's Creek NMP, attended monitoring is to be undertaken during the night only, with monitoring to commence at 9pm and results compared to all criteria.

Table 2.1: RIX'S CREEK IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA, dB

	Rix's Creek N	orth (RCN) ^{1,3}	Rix's Creek South (RCS) ^{1,3}		
Location Descriptor ID	LAeq,15minute	LA1,1minute	L _{Aeq} ,15minute	LA1,1minute	
NM1	38	48	40	48	
NM2	40	47	40	47 ²	
NM3	39	45	NA	NA	
NM4	37	49	42	48	
NM5	41	47	42	48	
NM6	36	48	42	47	
NM7	NA	NA	40	45	
NM8	NA	NA	40	47	
NM9	NA	NA	40	47	
NM10	NA	NA	40	47	

Notes:

1. Criteria applicable for the night period only (10:00pm to 7:00am), however, as stated in the Rix's Creek NMP, attended monitoring undertaken during the night will commence at 9:00pm;

2. Criterion set as for Rix's Creek North in absence of data in EIS; and

3. NA indicates criteria not applicable at that location, as it was not included in the relevant EA, EIS, or Project Approval.

2.2 Meteorological Conditions

The RCM NMP and Environment Protection License (EPL 3391, Dec 2015) outlines required meteorological conditions for criteria to be applicable during attended noise monitoring:

L3.5 The noise emission limits identified in this licence apply under all meteorological conditions of:
a) Wind speeds up to 3m/s at 10 metres above the ground level; or
b) Temperature inversion conditions of up to 3oC/100m and wind speed up to 2m/s at 10 metres above the ground.

2.3 Modifying Factors

Noise monitoring and reporting is carried out in accordance with the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) 'Industrial Noise Policy' (INP). Chapter 4 of the INP deals specifically with modifying factors that may apply to industrial noise. The most common modifying factors are addressed in detail below.

2.3.1 Tonality, Intermittent and Impulsive Noise

As defined in the INP:

Tonal noise contains a prominent frequency and is characterised by a definite pitch.

Impulsive noise has high peaks of short duration and a sequence of such peaks.

Intermittent noise is characterised by the level suddenly dropping to the background noise levels several times during a measurement, with a noticeable change in noise level of at least 5 dB. Intermittent noise applies to night-time only.

Years of monitoring have indicated that noise levels from mining operations, particularly those levels measured at significant distances from the source, are relatively continuous. Given this, noise levels measured from RCM at the monitoring locations are unlikely to be intermittent. In addition, there is no equipment on site that is likely to generate tonal or impulsive noise as defined in the INP.

2.4 Low Frequency Noise

INP Method

As defined in the INP:

Low frequency noise contains major components within the low frequency range (20 Hz to 250 Hz) of the frequency spectrum.

As detailed in Chapter 4 of the INP, low frequency noise should be assessed by measuring the site only C-weighted and site only A-weighted level over the same time period. The correction/penalty of 5 dB is applied *if the difference between the two levels is 15 dB or more.*

Broner Method

Low frequency noise can also be assessed against criteria specified in the paper "A Simple Method for Low *Frequency Noise Emission Assessment*" (Broner JLFNV Vol29-1 pp1-14 2010). If the site only C-weighted noise level at a receptor exceeds the relevant modifying factor trigger, a 5 dB penalty (modifying factor) is added to measured levels. This method is included to provide a comparison with the INP method.

dING Method

Whilst the INP is the current document for assessment of industrial noise impact in NSW, the EPA has recently published the Draft Industrial Noise Guideline (dING), which is currently under review after a period of public consultation. The dING contains an alternate method of assessing low frequency noise to the INP, which is:.

Measure/assess C-weighted and A-weighted L_{eq} , T levels over the same time period. The low frequency noise modifying factor correction is to be applied where the C-A level exceeds 15 dB and:

• where any of the 1/3 octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by **up to** 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2 dBA positive adjustment to measured A weighted levels applies for the evening/night period; and

• where any of the 1/3 octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by **more than** 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5 dBA positive adjustment to measured A weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2 dBA positive adjustment applies for the daytime period.

Table C2 of the dING is reproduced below:

Table C2: One-third octave low frequency noise thresholds

Hz/dB(Z)	Hz/dB(Z) One-third octave L _{Zeq,15minute} threshold level												
f,Hz	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

Note: dB(z) = decibel (Z-weighted); f,Hz = frequency in Hertz; Hz/dB(Z) = hertz per decibel (Z-weighted). For the assessment of low frequency noise, care should be taken to select a wind screen that has wind-induced noise characteristics at least 10 dB below the threshold values in Table C2 for wind speeds up to 5 metres per second. It is likely that high performance larger diameter wind screens (nominally 175 mm) will be required to achieve this performance (Hessler et.al. 2008). In any case, the performance of the wind screen and wind speeds at which data will be excluded needs to be stated. Low frequency noise shall be assessed under the meteorological conditions under which noise limits would apply. Measurements should be made between 1.2 and 1.5 metres above ground level unless otherwise approved through a planning instrument (consent/approval) or Environment Protection Licence and at locations nominated in the development consent or license.

2.4.1 Low Frequency Assessment Methods

Low frequency assessment methods are detailed in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: LOW FREQUENCY ASSESSMENT METHODS AND MODIFYING FACTOR TRIGGERS

Assessment Method	Calculation Method
Broner, 2010	Site only L _{Ceq}
INP	Site only L _{Ceq} minus site only L _{Aeq}
dING	1. Site only L _{Ceq} minus site only L _{Aeq}
	2. One third octave low frequency noise threshold

Triggers and penalties associated with each method are outlined in Section 2.4.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Overview

Noise monitoring was conducted at the monitoring locations in accordance with the Environment Protection Authority 'Industrial Noise Policy' guidelines and Australian Standard AS 1055 'Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise'.

Attended monitoring is preferred to the use of noise loggers when determining compliance with prescribed limits; it allows an accurate determination of the contribution, if any, to measured noise levels by the source of interest, RCM.

If the exact contribution of the source of interest cannot be established, due to masking by other noise sources in a similar frequency range, but site noise levels are observed to be well below (more than 5 dB lower than) any relevant criterion, a maximum estimate of the potential contribution of the site might be made based on other measured site-only noise levels, for example, L_{A10} , L_{A50} or L_{A90} . This is generally expressed as a 'less than' quantity, such as <20 dB or <30 dB.

The terms 'Inaudible' (IA) or 'Not Measurable' (NM) may also be used in this report. When site noise is noted as IA, no site noise was audible at the monitoring location. When site noise is noted as NM, this means some noise was audible but could not be quantified. If site noise was NM due to masking but estimated to be significant in relation to a relevant criterion, we would employ methods as per the Industrial Noise Policy (e.g. measure closer and back calculate) to determine a value for reporting.

All sites noted as NM in this report are due to one or more of the following reasons:

- site noise levels were extremely low and unlikely, in many cases, to be even noticed;
- site noise levels were masked by another relatively loud noise source that is characteristic of the environment (e.g. breeze in foliage or continuous road traffic noise) that cannot be eliminated by moving closer; and/or
- it was not feasible or reasonable to employ INP methods such as move closer and back calculate. Cases may include, but are not limited to, rough terrain preventing closer measurement, addition/removal of significant source to receiver shielding caused by moving closer, and meteorological conditions where back calculation may not be accurate

A measurement of $L_{A1,1minute}$ corresponds to the highest noise level generated for 0.6 seconds during one minute. In practical terms this is the highest noise level emitted from a RCM noise source during the entire measurement period (i.e. the highest level of the worst minute during the 15-minute measurement).

3.2 Attended Noise Monitoring

Due to the number and distance between monitoring locations in the NMP, it is not possible to determine compliance at each individual residence. As a result a risk-based assessment has been adopted where attended noise monitoring targets locations where operational noise from RCM is likely to be highest. Residences surrounding RCM have been grouped generally according to the locality and local acoustic environment. These groups are referenced in the relevant EAs as Noise Assessment Groups (NAG).

Compliance monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the following procedure outlined in the NMP:

Compliance monitoring is to be conducted at locations indicated as being in the zone of meteorological enhancement by the predictive noise model. The procedure for determining which locations to monitor is as follows:

- The acoustic consultant undertaking the monitoring will access the predictive model website for the site for the upcoming night shift. The model results will indicate graphically the predicted zone of meteorological enhancement;
- 2. A monitoring plan will be developed by the consultant for the upcoming night period. Locations are to include:
 - a. If a clear zone of meteorological enhancement is indicated, one location in the opposite direction to the zone of predicted enhancement, and, all locations located within the predicted zone of enhancement; and
 - b. If relatively neutral conditions are predicted with no clear zone of meteorological enhancement, the eight locations nearest the mine will be monitored. NM01, NM03 and NM10 would be excluded, as non-compliance at those locations in the absence of meteorological enhancement is unlikely due to distance from the Mine.
- 3. A minimum of six locations are to be monitored per night.

Once monitoring commences, the consultant will apply best judgment to either proceed with the original monitoring plan, or a modified plan if monitoring results justify a change.

Other relevant sections of the NMP regarding attended noise monitoring are provided in Appendix A.

3.3 Meteorological Data

One on-site Automatic Weather Station (AWS) is currently located within each of the RCS and RCN mining lease areas. Each complies with AS2923-1987 'Ambient Air – Guide for measurement of horizontal wind for air quality applications' and the INP. These automatic weather stations provide representative weather data for RCM including wind speed and direction, sigma theta, solar radiation, humidity, rainfall and temperature.

Weather data is used to determine the validity of noise monitoring results in accordance with the INP. Wind speed and rain data is used for this purpose. Extreme temperature inversions is considered G-class inversions, as determined by use of sigma theta and wind speed to categorise inversion strength, in accordance with Appendix E of the INP.

For the purpose of determining valid meteorological conditions for which noise criteria apply:

- The Rix's Creek South AWS will be used for assessment of Rix's Creek South; and
- The Rix's Creek North AWS will be used for assessment of Rix's Creek North.

3.4 Modification Factors

Years of monitoring have indicated that noise levels from mining operations, particularly those levels measured at significant distances from the source are relatively continuous. Given this, noise levels from RCM at the monitoring locations are unlikely to be intermittent or impulsive. In addition, there is no equipment on site at RCM that would generate impulsive noise as defined in the INP. However, low frequency noise from RCM has been addressed.

3.5 Attended Noise Monitoring Equipment

The equipment detailed in Table 3.1 was used to measure environmental noise levels. Calibration certificates are provided in Appendix B.

Table 3.1: ATTENDED NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Model	Serial Number	Calibration Due Date
Rion NA-28 sound level analyser	00707424	05/06/2019
Pulsar 106 acoustic calibrator	74813	05/06/2019

4 RESULTS

On the night environmental monitoring was conducted, a slight enhancement was predicted to the north. As a result, check monitoring was conducted at NM08 to the south, followed by routine monitoring at NM1 and NM2 to the north west, NM3 to the north, NM4, and NM05 to the north east, and NM06 to the east.

4.1 Overall Noise Levels

Overall noise levels measured at each location during attended measurement are provided in Table 4.1. Discussion as to the noise sources responsible for these measured levels is provided in Chapter 5 of this report.

Table 4.1: MEASURED NOISE LEVELS – AUGUST 2017¹

Location	Start Date and Time ²	L _{Amax} dB	L _{A1} dB	L _{A10} dB	L _{A50} dB	L _{Aeq} dB	L _{A90} dB	L _{Amin} dB	L _{Ceq} dB
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	53	48	44	41	42	38	35	54
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	56	52	50	45	46	42	38	59
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	51	38	34	31	32	29	27	48
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	47	44	32	26	32	24	22	46
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	85	71	58	33	61	29	26	63
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	57	53	44	37	41	32	26	51
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	74	64	50	38	51	35	32	60

Notes:

1. Levels in this table are not necessarily the result of activity at RCM; and

2. All measurements are 15 minutes duration.

4.2 Rix's Creek North

Noise levels generated by activity at RCN are shown in Table 4.2 and Table 4.3. Table 4.2 compares measured levels with $L_{Aeq,15minute}$ impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits. Discussion as to the noise sources responsible for these measured levels is provided in Section 5 of this report.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m ¹	L _{Aeq} ,15min Criterion dB ⁶	Criterion Applies? ^{2,6}	RCN L _{Aeq,15min} dB ^{3,4,5}	Exceedance 6
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	0.5	77	-1.0	38	Yes	35	Nil
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	0.5	37	3.0	40	No	IA	NA
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	0.4	200	3.0	39	No	IA	NA
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	0.8	143	-1.0	37	Yes	IA	Nil
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	1.1	138	0.5	41	Yes	IA	Nil
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	0.8	128	-1.0	36	Yes	IA	Nil
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	1.4	97	-1.0	NA	NA	IA	NA

Table 4.2: LAea.15minute GENERATED BY RCN AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – AUGUST 2017

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures outlined in the INP;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3^aC/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

3. These are results for RCN in the absence of all other noise sources;

4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;

5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable); and

6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L_{Aeq,15}minute criterion column means criterion not specified for this location.

Table 4.3 compares measured levels with RCN $L_{A1,1minute}$ impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m ¹	LA1,1min Criterion dB ⁶	Criterion Applies? ^{2,6}	RCN LA1,1min dB ^{3,4,5}	Exceedance 6
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	0.5	77	-1.0	48	Yes	40	Nil
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	0.5	37	3.0	47	No	IA	NA
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	0.4	200	3.0	45	No	IA	NA
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	0.8	143	-1.0	49	Yes	IA	Nil
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	1.1	138	0.5	47	Yes	IA	Nil
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	0.8	128	-1.0	48	Yes	IA	Nil
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	1.4	97	-1.0	NA	NA	IA	NA

Table 4.3: LA1.Iminute GENERATED BY RCN AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – AUGUST 2017

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures detailed in the INP;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3^aC/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

3. These are results for RCN in the absence of all other noise sources;

4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;

5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable); and

6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L_{Aeq,15minute} criterion column means criterion not specified for this location.

4.3 Rix's Creek South

Noise levels generated by activity at RCS are shown in Table 4.4 and Table 4.5. Table 4.4 compares measured levels with $L_{Aeq,15minute}$ impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits. Discussion as to the noise sources responsible for these measured levels is provided in Section 5 of this report.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m ¹	L _{Aeq} ,15min Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? ^{2,6}	RCS L _{Aeq,15min} dB ^{3,4,5}	Exceedance 6
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	2.0	80	0.5	40	Yes	IA	Nil
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	3.0	95	0.5	40	No	IA	NA
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	3.2	101	0.5	NA	NA	IA	NA
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	3.8	116	0.5	42	No	<25	NA
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	3.2	125	0.5	42	No	<25	NA
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	2.5	134	-1.0	42	Yes	<25	Nil
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	1.8	83	-1.0	40	Yes	IA	Nil

Table 4.4: LAea.15minute GENERATED BY RCS AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – AUGUST 2017

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures detailed in the INP;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3^aC/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

3. These are results for RCS in the absence of all other noise sources;

4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;

5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable);

6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in L_{Aeq,15}minute criterion column means criterion not specified for this location; and

7. An offset correction of -1.3dB has been applied to this measurement.

Table 4.5 compares measured levels with RCS LA1,1minute impact assessment criteria. Criteria are then applied if weather conditions are in accordance with relevant limits.

Location	Start Date and Time	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction	VTG °C/100m ¹	LA1,1min Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? ^{2,6}	RCS LA1,1min dB ^{3,4,5}	Exceedance 6
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	2.0	80	0.5	48	Yes	IA	Nil
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	3.0	95	0.5	47	No	IA	NA
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	3.2	101	0.5	NA	NA	IA	NA
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	3.8	116	0.5	48	No	<25	NA
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	3.2	125	0.5	48	No	<25	NA
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	2.5	134	-1.0	47	Yes	<25	Nil
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	1.8	83	-1.0	47	Yes	IA	Nil

Table 4.5: LAI Impute GENERATED BY RCS AGAINST IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – AUGUST 2017

Notes:

1. Sigma theta data used to calculate Vertical Temperature Gradient (VTG) in accordance with procedures detailed in the INP;

2. Noise emission criteria apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3^aC/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second;

- 3. These are results for RCS in the absence of all other noise sources;
- 4. NM denotes audible but not measurable, IA denotes inaudible;
- 5. Bold results in red are those greater than the relevant criterion (if applicable); and

6. NA in criterion applies and exceedance columns mean atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified or limits not available for that location and so criterion is not applicable, NA in LAeq, 15minute criterion column means criterion not specified for this location; and

7. An offset correction of -1.3dB has been applied to this measurement.

4.4 Low Frequency Assessment

Low frequency results for each monitoring location are presented in Table 4.6. Where the results in Table 4.6 are greater than the INP, Broner, or dING low frequency modifying factor trigger due to activities at RCM a 5 dB modifying factor correction is applied to the measured noise level (if applicable).

Applicability of the low frequency correction is determined by a number of factors including whether or not RCM was measurable (not "inaudible", "not measurable" or less than a maximum cut-off value of 30 dB), was within 5 dB of the relevant criterion, and where meteorological conditions resulted in criteria applying (in accordance with the EPL and project approval). The low frequency correction was applicable to one measurement as detailed in.

Table 4.6: LOW FREQUENCY NOISE MODIFYING FACTOR ASSESSMENT – AUGUST 2017

Location	Start Date and Time	INI	P	Bro	oner	dING	ł
		Result ^{1,5} L _{Ceq} – L _{Aeq} dB RCN/RCS	Penalty dB RCN/RCS	Result ^{2, 5} L _{Ceq} dB RCN/RCS	Penalty dB RCN/RCS	Result ^{3, 5} Max exceedance of ref spectrum dB RCN/RCS	Penalty dB RCN/RCS
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0	NA/NA	0/0

Notes:

1. Low frequency modifying factor trigger is $L_{Ceq} - L_{Aeq} \ge 15 \text{ dB}$ as per the INP;

2. Night L_{Cea} modifying factor trigger is L_{Cea} 60 dB as per Broner (2010);

3. Low frequency modifying factor trigger is comparison of measured spectrum against a reference spectrum as per the dING;

4. Bold results and penalties in red are where the relevant modifying factor trigger was exceeded;

5. Where it is not possible to determine the site only result due to the presence of other low frequency noise sources occurring during the measurement, or where site only level is 5 dB or more less than criterion, this is noted as NA (not available) and no further assessment has been undertaken; and

6. Noise emission limits apply for winds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10 metres); or temperature inversion conditions up to 3°C/100m and wind speeds up to 2 metres per second. No further low frequency assessment undertaken where criterion do not apply due to meteorological conditions.

As detailed in Table 4.6, noise levels from RCM were either 5 dB or more below the relevant criterion, inaudible or it is was not possible to determine the site only result due to the presence of other low frequency noise sources occurring during the measurement. No further assessment has been undertaken.

4.5 Measured Atmospheric Conditions

Atmospheric condition data measured by the operator at each location using a Kestrel hand-held weather meter is shown in Table 4.7. Atmospheric condition data is routinely recorded on a site-by-site basis to show conditions during the monitoring period. The wind speed, direction and temperature were measured at 1.8 metres.

Location	Start Date and Time	Temperature degrees C	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction Degrees	Cloud Cover 1/8s
NM01	02/08/2017 21:30	6	-	-	0
NM02	02/08/2017 22:16	9	-	-	0
NM03	02/08/2017 22:45	7	-	-	0
NM04	02/08/2017 23:09	9	-	-	0
NM05	02/08/2017 23:30	9	-	-	0
NM06	02/08/2017 23:53	7	-	-	0
NM08	02/08/2017 21:00	11	-	-	0

Table 4.7: MEASURED ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS – AUGUST 2017¹²

Notes:

1. Wind speed and direction measured at 1.8 metres; and

2. "-" indicates calm conditions.

Weather station data from RCN and RCS is used to determine compliance with specified noise criteria.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Noted Noise Sources

Table 4.1 to Table 4.5 present data gathered during attended monitoring. These noise levels are the result of many sounds reaching the sound level meter microphone during monitoring. Received levels from various noise sources were noted during attended monitoring and particular attention was paid to the extent of RCM's contribution, if any, to measured levels. At each receptor location, RCM's L_{Aeq,15minute} and L_{A1,1minute} (in the absence of any other noise) was, where possible, measured directly, or, determined by frequency analysis. Time variations of noise sources in each measurement, their temporal characteristics, are taken into account via statistical descriptors.

Other mines that may be audible at times are Ravensworth Complex, Hunter Valley Operations (HVO), Mount Thorley Warkworth (MTW), Ashton Coal and Wambo Coal mine (WCM).

From these observations summaries have been derived for each location in the following sections. Statistical 1/3 octave band analysis of environmental noise was undertaken, and the charts following in this section display the frequency ranges for various noise sources at each location for L_{A1}, L_{A10}, L_{A90}, and L_{Aeq}. These figures also provide, graphically, statistical information for these noise levels.

An example is provided as Figure 2 where it can be seen that frogs and insects are generating noise at frequencies above 1000 Hz; mining noise is at frequencies less than 1000 Hz (this is typical). Adding levels at frequencies that relate to mining only allows separate statistical results to be calculated. This analysis cannot always be performed if there are significant levels of other noise at the same frequencies as mining; this can be dogs, cows, or, most commonly, road traffic.

It should be noted that the method of summing statistical values up to a cut-off frequency can overstate the L_{A1} result by a small margin but is entirely accurate for L_{Aeq} .





Figure 2: Sample graph (see Section 5.1 for explanation)

5.1.1




A continuum from RCN was audible at times during the measurement generating the RCN only L_{Aeq} of 35 dB and $L_{A1,1minute}$ of 40 dB. RCS was inaudible.

Road traffic noise primarily generated measured levels. The continuum fro RCN contributed to measured levels.

Frogs and insects were also noted.


5.1.2 NM02 – 2 August 2017



RCM was inaudible.

Noise from another mine, road traffic and a train generated the measured L_{A1} , L_{A10} and L_{Aeq} . The continuum from the other mine and road traffic noise generated the measured L_{A90} .

5.1.3 NM03 – 3 August2017




RCM was inaudible.

A local continuum primarily generated measured levels. Road traffic tyre noise contributed to the measured L_{A1} .

Frogs and insects were also noted.

5.1.4 NM04 – 2 August 2017




A low-level continuum from RCS was audible during the measurement generating the RCS only L_{Aeq} and $L_{A1,1minute}$ of less than 25 dB. RCN was inaudible.

Road traffic noise generated the measured L_{A1} and L_{Aeq} . The continuum from RCN and frogs were primarily responsible for the measured L_{A10} and L_{A90} .

Insects were also noted.

5.1.5 NM05 – 2 August2017




A low-level continuum from RCS was audible during the measurement generating the RCS only L_{Aeq} of less than 25 dB. A surge in engine noise generated the RCS $L_{A1,1minute}$ of 30 dB. RCN was inaudible.

Road traffic noise generated the measured L_{A1} , L_{A10} and L_{Aeq} . Frogs and the continuum from RCS generated the measured L_{A90} .

Insects were also noted.

5.1.6 NM06 – 2 August 2017




A low-level continuum from RCS was audible during the measurement generating the RCS only L_{Aeq} of less than 25 dB. Track noise generated the RCS only $L_{A1,1minute}$ of 30 dB. RCN was inaudible.

Road traffic generated the measured L_{A1} , L_{A10} and L_{Aeq} . Frogs and the continuum from RCS generated the measured L_{A90} .

Insects and dogs were also noted.

5.1.7 NM08 – 2 August 2017



RCM was inaudible.

Road traffic noise primarily generated measured levels. A train contributed to the measured L_{A10} and L_{Aeq} .

Dogs, frogs, and insects were also noted.

6 SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE

Global Acoustics were engaged by Rix's Creek Mine to conduct a noise survey around their operations, situated north-west of the town of Singleton, NSW. The mine comprises the original Rix's Creek Mine, now known as Rix's Creek South (RCS), and the former Integra Open Cut Project Mine, now known as Rix's Creek North (RCN).

Environmental noise monitoring described in this report was undertaken during the night of 2/3 August 2017.

The purpose of the survey was to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around both operations and compare results with noise criteria outlined in the Rix's Creek Noise Management Plan (NMP).

6.1 Operational Noise Assessment

Noise levels from RCM complied with relevant criteria at all monitoring locations during the August 2017 monitoring survey.

Wind speed and/or estimated temperature inversion conditions resulted in development consent criteria not being applicable at several locations.

6.2 Low Frequency Assessment

During August 2017, RCM complied with the relevant limits using the Broner, INP and dING methods of assessing low frequency noise at all monitoring locations.

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd

APPENDIX

A NOISE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd | PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 | Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734

5. Attended Noise Compliance Monitoring

5.1.1 INTRODUCTION

Attended monitoring is required to assess compliance with regulatory limits. Note: As described in this document it does not address the 25% of privately owned land aspect of Schedule 3, Condition 2 of the Rixs Creek North Cut Project Approval. As recommended in the 2011 Independent Environmental Audit, the requirement to assess affectation of 25% of privately owned land should be removed as a requirement (for all criteria); it is not practical to determine and has no relevance to resident amenity.

Attended monitoring at all receptor locations will be at night only commencing from 9pm, with results compared to all criteria (day, evening and night). Atmospheric conditions and noise propagation are usually the same on the evening/night and night/day time boundaries. Note also that receptors near to, or exposed to, the New England Highway have a completely different noise environment in the day due to traffic such that mining noise is unlikely to be a problem. This is consistent with the Independent Review Of Cumulative Noise Impacts -Camberwell Village (WMPL, May 2010), which states:

The LA_{eq} levels near the New England Highway are predominately due to road traffic and associated heavy vehicles, rather than mining or other industrial noise, and is unlikely to decrease in the future.

5.1.2 FREQUENCY

Attended compliance monitoring is to be undertaken one night per calendar month.

5.1.3 LOCATIONS

Compliance cannot be determined at each individual resident so on the monitoring night monitoring is targeted to locations where operational noise is likely to be the highest. These monitoring locations are selected by the following procedure.

Residences surrounding the Mine have been grouped generally according to the locality and local acoustic environment. These groupings are referenced in the relevant EAs as Noise Assessment Groups (NAG). Monitoring locations, including the receptor reference numbers from the relevant EAs and the NAG each represents, are listed in Table 5-6.

Compliance monitoring is to be conducted at locations indicated as being in the zone of meteorological enhancement by the predictive noise model. The procedure for determining which locations to monitor is as follows:

- The acoustic consultant undertaking the monitoring will access the predictive model website for the site for the upcoming night shift. The model results will indicate graphically the predicted zone of meteorological enhancement;
- A monitoring plan will be developed by the consultant for the upcoming night period. Locations are to include:
 - a. If a clear zone of meteorological enhancement is indicated, one location in the opposite direction to the zone of predicted enhancement, and, all locations located within the predicted zone of enhancement; and

- b. If relatively neutral conditions are predicted with no clear zone of meteorological enhancement, the eight locations nearest the mine will be monitored. NM01, NM03 and NM10 would be excluded, as non-compliance at those locations in the absence of meteorological enhancement is unlikely due to distance from the Mine.
- 3. A minimum of six locations are to be monitored per night.

Once monitoring commences, the consultant will apply best judgment to either proceed with the original monitoring plan, or a modified plan if monitoring results justify a change.

The procedure for monitoring when a clear zone of meteorological enhancement is predicted is:

- The first monitoring location will be the potentially most affected location in the opposite direction to the zone of predicted enhancement to confirm noise emission in that direction is well below compliance criteria;
- If the Mine LAeq is more than 2 dB below the relevant criterion at the first location (LAeq < criterion minus 2 dB), the consultant will proceed with the original plan and move to the locations within the predicted zone of enhancement;
- 3. If the Mine L_{Aeq} is within 2 dB of the relevant criterion (L_{Aeq} >= criterion minus 2 dB), the consultant will monitor at the next most potentially affected location in the same general direction from the Mine. This procedure will be repeated until the Mine L_{Aeq} is more than 2 dB below the relevant criterion. Result acceptance procedures in Section 5.1.7 will be applied;
- 4. The consultant will then proceed with the original plan; and
- If fatigue management rules result in insufficient time to monitor all locations, the consultant will apply best judgement to determine which locations will provide the best indication of compliance with the time available.

The procedure for monitoring when no clear zone of meteorological enhancement is predicted is:

- The first monitoring location will be the potentially most affected location based on forecast and prevailing meteorological conditions;
- 2. If compliance is demonstrated, the consultant will proceed with the original plan;
- If non-compliance is measured at any location, result acceptance procedures in Section 5.1.7 will be applied. Any locations in the same general direction from the Mine that were omitted in the original plan will be included; and
- If fatigue management rules result in insufficient time to monitor all locations, the consultant will apply best judgement to determine which locations will provide the best indication of compliance with the time available.

The consultant shall maintain a fatigue management policy, which will be provided to the Mine and/or regulators on request.

	100000	active monitoring 2000.00	
NMP ID	EA Ref. (ICO/RCM) ¹	Owner or Area	NAG ²
NM01	132/171	Bowman	6 (ICO) / M (RCM)
NM02	91/NA	Olofsson	4 (ICO)
NM03	47/NA	Cherry	B, C, F, 1, 6 and 12 (ICO)
NM04	19/12	Andrews	11 and A (ICO) / A (RCM)
NM05	11/8	Ferraro	10 and 11 (ICO) / A (RCM)
NM06	145/19	Murray	9 (ICO) / B and C (RCM)
NM07	NA/61	Gardiner Circuit	8 (ICO) / D and E (RCM)
NM08	NA/152	Belmadar Way	NA / J, G and F (RCM)
NM09	NA/121	Lllanrian Drive	NA / H (RCM)
NM10	NA/135	Long Point	NA / K and I (RCM)

Table 5-6 Attended Monitoring Locations

Notes: 1. NA indicates location was not included in the EA for that project; and

2. Indicates the NAG reference the location represents from the relevant EAs.

Figure 5-1 illustrates attended monitoring locations.

5.1.4 METHODS

Attended monitoring is to be conducted in accordance with the 'Industrial Noise Policy' (INP) guidelines and Australian Standard AS 1055 'Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise'. The duration of each measurement is to be 15 minutes.

As indicated in L3.3, L3.4 & L3.5 of EPL 3391:

L3.3 Noise from the premises is to be measured at the most affected point within the residential boundary, or at the most affected point within 30 metres of the dwelling where the dwelling is more than 30 metres from the boundary, to determine compliance with the noise level limits in this licence unless otherwise stated.

Where it can be demonstrated that direct measurement of noise from the premises is impractical, the EPA may accept alternative means of determining compliance. See Chapter 11 of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy.

The modification factors presented in Section 4 of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy shall also be applied to the measured noise levels where applicable.

- L3.4 Noise from the premises is to be measured at 1 m from the dwelling facade to determine compliance with the LA1(1minute) noise limits in this licence.
- L3.5 The noise emission limits identified in this licence apply under all meteorological conditions of: a) Wind speeds up to 3m/s at 10 metres above the ground level; or

b) Temperature inversion conditions of up to 3oC/100m and wind speed up to 2m/s at 10 metres above the ground.

In most cases, monitoring near the residence is impractical due to barking dogs or issues with obtaining access. In all cases, measurements are to be undertaken at a suitable and representative location.

Some measurement results may be inconclusive and reported as "Inaudible" (IA) or "Not Measurable" (NM). When site noise is noted as IA then there was no site noise at the monitoring location. However, if site noise is noted as NM, this means some noise was audible but could not be quantified. This means that noise from the site was either very low, or, being masked by other noise that was relatively loud. In the former case (very low site levels) it is not considered necessary to attempt to accurately quantify site NM noise as it would be significantly less than any criterion and most unlikely to cause annoyance (and in many cases, to be even noticed).

If site noise were NM due to masking then suitable methods must be employed as per the Industrial Noise Policy (e.g. measure closer and back calculate) to determine a value for assessment of compliance.

As indicated in the notes below Table 2 of the Rixs Creek North Project Approval:

Noise generated by the projects is to be measured in accordance with the relevant procedures and exemptions (including certain meteorological conditions), of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy.

The procedures and exemptions will include the assessment of modifying factors from Section 4 of the INP, where applicable. Years of monitoring have indicated that noise levels from mining operations, particularly those levels measured at significant distances from the source are relatively continuous. Given this, noise levels at the monitoring locations are unlikely to be intermittent or impulsive. However, tonality and low frequency are to be assessed by analysis of the measured LAeg and/or LCeg spectrum.

5.1.5 METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING

One on-site Automatic Weather Station (AWS) is currently located within each of the Rixs Creek South and Rixs Creek North mining lease areas. Each complies with AS2923-1987 Ambient Air – Guide for measurement of horizontal wind for air quality applications and the INP. These AWS provide representative weather data for the Mine including wind speed and direction, sigma theta, solar radiation, humidity, rainfall and temperature. Weather data will be used to determine the validity of noise monitoring results in accordance with the INP. Wind speed and rain data will be used for this purpose. Extreme temperature inversions will be considered G-class inversions, as determined by use of sigma theta and wind speed to categorise inversion strength, in accordance with Appendix E of the INP.

For the purpose of determining valid meteorological conditions for which noise criteria apply:

- · The Rixs Creek South AWS will be used for assessment of Rixs Creek South; and
- The Rixs Creek North AWS will be used for assessment of Rix's Creek North.

5.1.6 DATA TO BE COLLECTED

Data shall be collected in 15 minute periods and the Mine only LAeq result recorded. Low pass filtering will be used to remove extraneous noise such as insects when applicable. Other extraneous noise may be paused from the data set or excluded by other means. Statistical data must be one-third octave.

Assessment of impact is to include consideration of mining activity and atmospheric conditions during each measurement. Wind speed and/or estimated temperature inversion conditions may result in regulatory criteria not being applicable in accordance with the INP.

The Mine only L_{Ceq} result should be collected simultaneously. Low pass filtering will be used to remove extraneous high spectrum noise when required

A low frequency noise penalty of 5 dB is to be added to the Mine only LAeq result when noise from the mine causes:

- · The Mine only C weighted reading to exceed LCeq 65 dB during the day or evening periods; or
- The Mine only C weighted reading to exceed LCeq 60 dB during the night period.

The following information must be recorded during attended noise monitoring:

- Time and date;
- Location;
- Name of person carrying out the monitoring;
- Serial number of equipment used;
- Noted sources and noise levels, direction and frequency from source of interest;
- Duration of monitoring;
- Measured noise levels including LAeq, LAmax, LAmin, LA1, LA10, LA50 and LA90, and
- Weather conditions including temperature, relative humidity, wind speed average, wind speed maximum, wind direction and estimated cloud cover.

5.1.7 RESULT ACCEPTANCE

A 15 minute measurement shall be taken and assessed against the applicable criterion. If the Mine only LAeq result is below the criterion, then the consultant will record it, note the site has passed and move on to the next monitoring location.

If the Mine only LAeq result exceeds the criterion, is attributable to the Mine, and taken in valid meteorological conditions, then the following steps are to be followed:

- Consultant will record the reading, advise the Mine of the criterion exceedance and proceed to Step 2. The Mine will implement remedial action as required.
- Within 75 minutes after the first measurement (and no earlier than 10pm) a second 15 minute measurement is to be made. If this second result exceeds the criterion then proceed to Step 3, otherwise proceed to Step 4.
- If the result is attributable to the Mine and taken in valid meteorological conditions then proceed to Step 5.
- The consultant will record the result, note the site has passed, schedule an additional monitoring test to be undertaken at the location within 1 week, and move on to the next monitoring location.
- 5. The consultant will record the result, note the site has failed and is deemed a 'noise affected night' at that location. An additional monitoring test should be scheduled to be undertaken at the same location within 1 week, and move on to the next monitoring location.

If the Mine only LAeq result exceeds the criterion, is attributable to the Mine, and taken in invalid meteorological conditions, the consultant will record it, advise the Mine a measurement has exceeded the criterion, and move on to the next monitoring location.

As detailed in Section 6.2.3 of this NMP, the OCE is to be advised of any potential noise exceedance detected during attended monitoring. The flow chart in Figure 6-5 details the attended monitoring exceedance procedure.

5.1.8 COMPLIANCE CRITERIA

Table 5-7 sets out night period noise compliance criteria. Rixs Creek North criteria are sourced from the Project Approval. Rixs Creek South LAeq,15minute intrusive noise criteria are based on proposed criteria nominated in the EIS. LA1,1minute criteria are based on sleep disturbance criteria for the relevant NAG derived in the EIS.

L_{Aeq,15minute} criteria are applicable for the day (07:00 to 18:00), evening (18:00 to 22:00) and night (22:00 to 07:00) periods. L_{A1,1minute} criteria are applicable for the night period only.

NMP ID	EA Ref. (ICO/RCM) ¹	Rix's Cre	ek North	Rixs Creek South		
		LAeq,15minute dB	LA1,1 minute dB	LAeq,15minute dB	LA1,1 minute dB	
NM01	132/171	38	48	40	48	
NM02	91/NA	40	47	40	47 ¹	
NM03	47/NA	39	45	NA	NA	
NM04	19/12	37	49	42	48	
NM05	11/8	41	47	42	48	
NM06	145/19	36	48	42	47	
NM07	NA/61	NA	NA	40	45	
NM08	NA/152	NA	NA	40	47	
NM09	NA/121	NA	NA	40	47	
NM10	NA/135	NA	NA	40	47	

Table 5-7 Compliance Criteria

Notes: 1. Criterion set as for Rixs Creek North in the absence of data in the EIS; and

2. NA indicates criteria not applicable at that location, as it was not included in the relevant EA, EIS or Project Approval.

5.1.9 REPORTING

Attended monitoring reports should include a comparison to criteria detailed in the relevant project approval. All attended measurement result analysis should consider criteria applicability (for impact,

mitigation, cumulative and acquisition criteria) with regard to wind speed and vertical temperature gradient.

All results that exceed criteria, including instances where the second measurement indicates compliance with criteria, shall be reported to DP&E the following day along with actions taken to reduce the noise.

All monitoring that results in a night being deemed a 'noise affected night' in accordance with Section 5.1.7 shall be reported to DP&E and the affected community as per the notification requirements.

5.1.10 EXCEEDANCE PROCEDURE

.Procedures to be followed in the event of a measured noise exceedance are outlined in Section 6.2.3

6.2.3 ATTENDED COMPLIANCE MONITORING EXCEEDANCE MEASURED

Any exceedance of a noise criterion is to be acted upon immediately it is measured. The acoustic consultant undertaking attended monitoring is to contact the Mine to advice of the problem and discuss possible changes to operations that should lead to compliance. A remeasure is required to evaluate the effectiveness of any change implemented as outlined in Section 5.1.7, if the measurement was made in valid meteorological conditions. The Senior Environmental Officer and/or the Environmental Officer should also be advised of the exceedance.

Responsibility: Noise Monitoring Consultant

Timing: Each event

The Department of Planning & Environment (Singleton Compliance Branch) and/or the Environment Protection Authority is to be informed of any noise criterion exceedance.


Responsibility: Senior Environmental Officer or Environmental Officer

Timing: Each event

This Noise Management Plan is to be issued to any consultant conducting attended noise monitoring for the site so they understand all relevant procedures.

Responsibility: Environmental Officer

Timing: On commencement of contract and every time this document is updated.





APPENDIX

B CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Global Acoustics Pty Ltd | PO Box 3115 | Thornton NSW 2322 Telephone +61 2 4966 4333 | Email global@globalacoustics.com.au ABN 94 094 985 734

6	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd	Level 7 Building 2 423 Pennant Hills NSW A Ph: +61 2 9484 0800 A.B www.acousticrese	USTRALIA 2120 .N. 65 160 399 119	
		e Band Filter		
		s 4476:1997 ion Certificate		
	Calibration Number			
	Client Details			
I	Filter Model Number Filter Serial Number Instrument Serial Number Microphone Serial Number Pre-amplifier Serial Number	: N/A : 00701424 : 01916 : 01463		
	Atmos Ambient Temperature : Relative Humidity : Barometric Pressure :	39%		
		Source a		
Calibration Tech Calibration	nician : Vicky Jaiswal	Secondary Chec Report Issue Date		
Calibration	nician : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory :	Secondary Chec Report Issue Date	e: 06/06/2017 ŀ	Ken Willian
Calibration Clause and Charac 4.4 & 5.3: 1/1 Octave 1 4.4 & 5.3: 1/3 Octave 1	nician : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation f relative attenuation f and meter under test has been shown to	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Model Result Clause and Charae Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper 4.8 & 5.7: Anti-alias fi 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque	e: 06/06/2017 F cteristic Tested ating range ilters ency response	Resu Pass Pass Pass
Calibration Clause and Charac 4.4 & 5.3: 1/1 Octave 1 4.4 & 5.3: 1/3 Octave 1	nician : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation i relative attenuation i and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Report Issue Data esult Clause and Charaa Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper 4.8 & 5.7: Anti-alias fri 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class 1 requirements Conform to the class 1 requirements	e: 06/06/2017 F cteristic Tested ating range ilters ency response	Resu Pass Pass Pass
Calibration Clause and Charac 4.4 & 5.3: 1/1 Octave 1 4.4 & 5.3: 1/3 Octave 1	ician : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation A relative attenuation A and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f Least Uncert ±0.19dB ±0.11dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB	Clause and Chara Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class 1 requirements for the tests stated above.	e: 06/06/2017 F cteristic Tested ating range ilters ency response	Resu Pass Pass Pass
Calibration	tician : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation H and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f Least Uncert ±0.19dB ±0.11dB ±0.09dB	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Report Issue Data Result Clause and Charae Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper Pass 4.6 & 5.7: Anti-alias fi 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class I requirements for the tests stated above. tainties of Measurement - Environmental Conditions Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	e: 06/06/2017 cteristic Tested ating range ilters ency response s for periodic testing as de $\pm 0.05^{\circ}C$ $\pm 0.46\%$ $\pm 0.017kPa$	Resu Pass Pass Pass
Calibration	ician : Vicky Jaiswal i Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation H relative attenuation H and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f Least Uncert ±0.19dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB ±0.16dB	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Report Issue Data csult Clause and Charae Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper Pass 4.6 & 5.7: Anti-alias fi 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class 1 requirements for the tests stated above. tainties of Measurement - Environmental Conditions <i>Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure</i> 25% confidence level with a coverage	e: 06/06/2017 eteristic Tested ating range liters ency response s for periodic testing as de $\pm 0.05^{\circ}C$ $\pm 0.46\%$ $\pm 0.017kPa$ at the second	Resu Pass Pass Pass
Calibration	nician : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation A relative attenuation A and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f Least Uncert ±0.19dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB ±0.16dB All uncertainties are derived at the S	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Report Issue Data esult Clause and Charae Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper Pass 4.6 & 5.7: Anti-alias fi 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class 1 requirements for the tests stated above. tainties of Measurement - Environmental Conditions Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure 25% confidence level with a coverage ead in conjunction with the calibration VATA Accredited Laboratory Numb	e: 06/06/2017 k cteristic Tested ating range ilters ency response s for periodic testing as de $\pm 0.05^{\circ}C$ $\pm 0.46\%$ $\pm 0.017kPa$ be factor of 2. on test report.	Resu Pass Pass Pass
Calibration	itcian : Vicky Jaiswal Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : teristic Tested R relative attenuation R relative attenuation R and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f Least Uncert ±0.19dB ±0.09dB ±0.09dB ±0.16dB All uncertainties are derived at the S This calibration certificate is to be re Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd is N	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Report Issue Data Colspan="2">Clause and Charae Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper Pass 4.6 & 5.7: Anti-alias fi 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class 1 requirements for the tests stated above. tainties of Measurement - Environmental Conditions <i>Temperature</i> <i>Relative Humidity</i> <i>Barometric Pressure</i> P5% confidence level with a coverage ead in conjunction with the calibration VATA Accredited Laboratory Numb //EC 17025.	e: 06/06/2017 k cteristic Tested ating range itters ency response s for periodic testing as de ± 0.05 °C $\pm 0.46\%$ $\pm 0.017kPa$ we factor of 2. on test report. her 14172.	Resu Pass Pass escribed in AS
Calibration	itcian : Vicky Jaiswal in Date : 05/06/2017 Approved Signatory : Iteristic Tested Relative attenuation Relative attenuation and meter under test has been shown to 4476:1997 f Least Uncert ±0.19dB ±0.11dB ±0.09dB ±0.16dB All uncertainties are derived at the Second test of the tests, calibrations of the tests, calibratis, the tests, calibratis, the tests, calibratis, the t	Secondary Chec Report Issue Data Report Issue Data Result Clause and Charae Pass 4.6 & 5.5: Linear oper Pass 4.8 & 5.7: Anti-alias fi 4.10 & 5.9: Flat freque conform to the class 1 requirements for the tests stated above. tainties of Measurement - Environmental Conditions Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure 05% confidence level with a coverage ead in conjunction with the calibration VATA Accredited Laboratory Numbulie: VIEC 17025. and/or measurements included in thi	e : 06/06/2017 k cteristic Tested ating range ilters ency response s for periodic testing as de $\pm 0.05^{\circ}C$ $\pm 0.46\%$ $\pm 0.017kPa$ as factor of 2. on test report. er 14172. is document are traceable the mutual recognition of	Resu Pas: Pas: rscribed in AS

6	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd Labs Pty Ltd
and a street of	Sound Calibrator
	IEC 60942-2004
	Calibration Certificate
	Calibration Number C17249
	Client Details Global Acoustics Pty Ltd 12/16 Huntingdale Drive Thornton NSW 2322
Equipn	nent Tested/ Model Number : Pulsar 106 Instrument Serial Number : 74813
	Atmospheric Conditions Ambient Temperature : 24.3°C Relative Humidity : 38.9% Barometric Pressure : 99.96kPa
Calibration Techn Calibration	Date : 05/06/2017 Report Issue Date : 06/06/2017
	Approved Signatory : ASCAL Ken Wil
Clause and Charact 5.2.2: Generated Sound 5.2.3: Short Term Fluct	Pressure Level Pass 5.3.2: Frequency Generated
Measured Output	Nominal Level Nominal Frequency Measured Level Measured Frequ 94.0 1000.0 93.8 1000.33
	een shown to conform to the class 2 requirements for periodic testing, described in Annex B of IEC 60942:20 level(s) and frequency(ies) stated, for the environmental conditions under which the tests were performed. Least Uncertainties of Measurement -
Specific Tests Generated SPL	±0.11dB Environmental Conditions ±0.11dB Temperature ±0.05°C
Short Term Fluct. Frequency	±0.02dB Relative Humidity ±0.46% ±0.01% Barometric Pressure ±0.017kPa
Distortion	±0.5%
	All uncertainties are derived at the 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of 2.
	This calibration certificate is to be read in conjunction with the calibration test report.
	Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd is NATA Accredited Laboratory Number 14172. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
NAIA	The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.
WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION	NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration and inspection reports.
	equivalence of testing, incurca testing, carbration and inspection reports.